




The Adolescent Family Life Program

**Reporting of Selected Outcomes for
Clients Active in AFLP as of November 30, 1997**



The Adolescent Family Life Program

Reporting of Selected Outcomes for
Clients Active in AFLP as of November 30, 1997

David J. Reynen, MPH

Epidemiology and Evaluation Section
Maternal and Child Health Branch
California Department of Health Services

Gray Davis, Governor
State of California

Grantland Johnson, Secretary
Health and Human Services Agency

Joseph Munso, Chief Deputy Director
Department of Health Services

Tameron Mitchell, RD, MPH, Deputy Director
Primary Care and Family Health

Rugmini Shah, MD, Chief
Maternal and Child Health Branch

Gilberto F. Chavez, MD, MPH, Chief
Epidemiology and Evaluation Section



This report was prepared by David J. Reynen, MPH, a Research Scientist with the Epidemiology and Evaluation Section of the Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Branch, California Department of Health Services (CDHS), under the general direction of Gilberto F. Chavez, MD, MPH, the section chief.

Acknowledgements

The Maternal and Child Health Branch would like to acknowledge the valuable contributions of the following individuals:

Dr. Chavez and Mary J. Nelson, PhD, MPH (formerly with MCH) for their guidance and expertise which were critical in the development of this report.

Program Policy Section management and staff, especially Terrence Smith, MD, Chief, Jacquelyn Ward, RN, MSN, CPNP, and Robert Bates, MD: Their critical review of the report during its development was especially helpful.

Mark Branagh and his staff at the Branagh Information Group: They provided outstanding technical assistance with the management information system that supports this program and makes this evaluation possible.

AFLP Directors across the state: The information, discussion, and feedback the Directors provided throughout the development of this report certainly contributed to its content.

For their assistance in providing the experiential context for understanding the data and in editing this report, a very special recognition goes to the AFLP Regional Representatives: Judy Kelley and Claire Pisor (Northern California), Charlene Clemens, MPA (Greater Bay Area), Susan Elizabeth (Central Valley), Gabriele Burkard, LCSW, and Nikki Steele, MA (Los Angeles, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, and Ventura), and Linda Levisen, RN, MS (Southern California).

Finally, the MCH Branch wishes to acknowledge the AFLP clients and their children. It is for them that this work continues. It is the hope of all those involved with this program that the information contained in this report can be used to continuously improve the program and its effectiveness in serving pregnant and/or parenting adolescents.

Feedback

Please direct any comments as follows:

David J. Reynen, MPH
Epidemiology and Evaluation Section
Maternal and Child Health Branch
California Department of Health Services
714 P Street, Room 499
Sacramento, California 95814
Voice: (916) 657-2893
Fax: (916) 653-1287
E-mail: DReynen@dhs.ca.gov

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Executive Summary	4
List of AFLP Sites	8
Case History of an Actual Client	12
Background	13
Client Profile	15
Health Outcomes	19
Educational Outcomes	29
Repeat Pregnancies	34
AFDC and Medi-Cal Utilization	37
Continuous Case Management	39
Involvement of the Fathers	41
Appendix	44
List of Site-specific Data Tables	45
Site-specific Data Tables	46

Executive Summary

The following are key findings from the AFLP data presented in this report. The first findings are some of the success stories, reflecting some of the positive findings from AFLP services; the remaining findings articulate some of the program challenges.

Program Successes

- ❖ The AFLP provides case management services to almost 9,000 clients every month. Further, over a year, about 16,000 pregnant and/or parenting adolescents and teens are the recipients of these services (page 15).
- ❖ Of the births to active AFLP clients, only 10.5% were premature (before the 37th week of gestation); this proportion is notably smaller than the proportion of premature births (13.7%) to teens in the general population (page 20).
- ❖ Data indicate that the AFLP has brought the majority of its high-risk clientele up to par with the general California teen population in terms of the risk for having a low birthweight infant. Low birthweight data (page 21 through page 23) suggest that the race/ethnicity-specific risks of delivering a low birthweight birth were lower for White AFLP teens, higher for Asian/Pacific Islander AFLP teens, and neither noticeably lower nor higher for AFLP teens in the other three racial/ethnic groups relative to the corresponding race/ethnicity-specific risks in the general teen population. In the case of the Asian/Pacific Islanders, the relatively high prevalence of low birthweight births may not necessarily indicate an accompanied proportional risk for negative health outcomes.
- ❖ Based on data related to injuries and domestic violence, as of the most recent follow-up visit, there was a known or suspected intentional self-inflicted injury (ISII) for less than one percent of teens (page 26).
- ❖ Based on data related to tobacco use, as of the most recent follow-up visit, of those teens who smoked prior to entry into the program, 11.1% reported they stopped smoking. Of those who were not smoking at entry, 5.9% started smoking. Thus the percentage of clients with a reduced risk is nearly twice the percentage of clients with an increased risk (Page 27).
- ❖ The findings suggest that AFLP has been successful, in large part, in promoting school enrollment and attendance, as evidenced not only by the four in five clients enrolled at the time of entry into AFLP who stayed in school or received either a high school diploma or GED but also by the two in five "drop outs" who reenrolled in or finished school (page 30 through page 31).
- ❖ Based on the data from 11,124 follow-up visits with 8,894 clients who were active in AFLP as of November 30, 1997, a case manager can reasonably expect that in only four out of one hundred follow-up visits will a repeat pregnancy that has resulted in a live birth be reported (page 34).
- ❖ Based on data related to contraceptive use, of those teens who were irregular users (either never or only sometimes used contraception) at the time of intake into the program, over half (51.3%) were always using it at the most recent follow-up (Page 36).

- ❖ The 8,894 clients active in AFLP as of November 30, 1997 received a total of 18,514 referrals — or, on average, approximately two referrals per client. The largest proportion of referrals (30.2%) was for family planning services (page 40).
- ❖ During 546 case management visits, 270 male clients (3% of the total clientele active as of November 30, 1997) received 352 referrals from case managers, including 109 to employment services (4.5% of all employment-related referrals) (page 42).
- ❖ The potential for increasing paternal involvement with the mothers and babies in AFLP is considerable as the vast majority of female clients reported feeling safe with the father of the baby -- or the partner, if not the father of the baby (page 43).

Program Challenges

- ❖ On average, only one in four (24.0%) pregnant clients enters AFLP during the first trimester (page 16). This limits, to some extent, the impact of program interventions and outcomes.

Field experience: In some cases, enrollment in AFLP after the first trimester is due to denial, fear, or ignorance on the teen's part that she is pregnant. In other cases, these may be teens not realizing they are pregnant because of irregular menstrual periods due to age and biological developmental stage.

- ❖ Though a majority of clients began prenatal care prior to their entry into the program (page 19 through page 20), there are still some who received prenatal care late or not at all.

Field experience: For some of these teens who receive late prenatal care, all of the issues articulated directly above also relate to the timing of entry into prenatal care. Additionally, teens entering prenatal care later in the pregnancy may be those whose living situations classify them at "high risk." They may have poor or absent support systems, may be highly mobile, may experience abusive situations, and may have geographic limitations for service and service access. Finally, it should be noted that there are reports from the field that some local health insurance companies are implementing a policy of providing prenatal care that begins during the second trimester.

- ❖ In the AFLP data, it is noted that African-American, Asian/Pacific Islander, and Hispanic clients generally experience higher prevalences of low birthweight births than do Whites. Low birthweight is defined as an infant born with a birthweight of less than 2500 grams (five and a half pounds). The negative health outcomes associated with low birthweight include, but are not limited to, increased rates of infant mortality, premature birth, and/or congenital birth defects (page 21 through page 23).

Field experience: In the African-American population, experiential evidence indicates the risk of negative health outcomes associated with low birthweight is notably higher when compared to the risks experienced by other race/ethnicities. Thus, the low birthweight data for African-American clients in the AFLP indicate additional strategies are needed to address this issue.

- ❖ Though the percent of index children who are up to date with respect to their immunizations, ascertained at the time of the most recent follow-up visit, is high at 86% (page 24), further investigation is warranted to determine why client immunizations are not closer to 100% since having these immunizations is usually necessary for school enrollment (page 25).

- ❖ While only 6% of AFLP clients were smoking at the time of entry into the program, one in three clients was living with a smoker (page 27). This exposure to environmental tobacco smoke not only can affect the clients themselves but also could put newborns and infants at risk of respiratory illness and Sudden infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).
- ❖ One in four clients had less than a ninth grade education at the time of entry into the program -- by virtue of being young and/or having attained a level of education that is not appropriate for one's age (page 29). This poses difficulty for clients in accessing and/or understanding health-related information and/or managing referrals from their case managers.

Field experience: Many AFL programs attempt to accommodate these needs through appropriately tailored individual service interventions. However, indications are that the responsibility for helping children attain optimal educational outcomes is a broad social issue. The reasons for some AFLP clients' low levels of achievement are not solely related to their status as pregnant and/or parenting teens. Certainly, major system limitations that have hindered optimal educational achievement must exist. Some of these teens "fell through the cracks" as elementary school students when they were not assessed for nor provided with Special Education services. These situations were then exacerbated in middle school and beyond. In addition, other factors that impact educational attainment are psychosocial, economic, and health-related. AFLP continues to seek alternatives for this population that is particularly challenging to serve in traditional ways.

- ❖ Though the findings suggest that AFLP has been successful, in large part, in promoting school enrollment and attendance (page 30 through page 31), two in three of the clients who had dropped out of school by the time of entry into the program were still not in school at the time of the most recent follow-up visit.

Field experience: Related to educational deficits or disabilities, as indicated above, these problems are based in system-failures and are cumulative. When teens are not able to function at age-grade appropriate levels, it leads to poor attendance and school drop out. Field experience suggests that the reasons some teens drop out prior to pregnancy often include psychosocial, cognitive, and health-related factors. Additionally there may be socio-economic/poverty-related reasons while teens are out of school; again, field experience shows that there are teens served in the AFLP that are helping their families by working or are being utilized as caregivers for younger siblings. Lack of appropriate remedial support for these teens makes it difficult for the case manager to find appropriate school programs in which to enroll and retain them.

- ❖ With respect to contraception, there is a need to be cognizant of the lack of regular use among two groups of users: (1) the 16.5% of clients who were regular users at the time of entry into the program but became irregular users by the time of their most recent follow-up visit; (2) the 27.7% of clients who were irregular users at the time of entry into the program and remained irregular users through the time of their most recent follow-up visit (page 35 through page 36). While three of ten referrals are made for clients were for family planning services, clearly AFLP continues to be challenged by the "irregular user" (page 40).

Field experience: This population of teens may be among the highest risk the AFLP serves, as they face numerous barriers, including the following: poor or absent support systems; high mobility; experience of abusive (emotional, physical, sexual) situations that negatively impact utilization of family planning services; geographic limitations for service and service access; antagonistic relationships with parents and/or partners regarding use of birth control; and issues related to the developmental stage/age of the client.

- ❖ At the time of intake, there were clients who needed AFDC and/or Medi-Cal (and/or other) referrals but had not yet received them (page 37). Information should be gathered to determine how to best provide needed referrals.
- ❖ Across all sites, 13.7% of required client forms (i.e., Intakes Pregnancy Outcomes, and Follow-ups) were not received. In order to better evaluate the program (and to better serve the clients), it is imperative that the percentage of missing forms be reduced (page 39).
- ❖ The numbers of referrals made to various services (page 40) provide insight into not only the diverse needs of the client population but also the degree to which case managers provide comprehensive case management services. These data should be used to identify any gaps in the services currently available to the clients.
- ❖ Upon considering the many complex and potentially positive relationships which can exist between the involvement of the father of the baby (or the partner) and the achievement of other program goals, such as those related to educational and occupational achievement, further work should identify effective strategies to promote the participation of the father of the baby (or the partner) unless it appears that such an action could be detrimental to the well being of the AFLP client and her (their) child(ren) (page 43).

List of AFLP Sites

ALAMEDA COUNTY

- 01006 Tiburcio Vasquez Health Center
- 01093 East Bay Perinatal Council – Alameda County AFLP and Cal-Learn

BUTTE COUNTY

- 04094 Teenage Pregnancy & Parenting Program

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

- 07095 East Bay Perinatal Council – Contra Costa County AFLP and Cal-Learn

FRESNO COUNTY

- 10096 Fresno Pregnant and Parenting Teen Program

GLENN COUNTY

- 11534 Glenn County Health Services AFLP/ASPPP

HUMBOLDT COUNTY

- 12054 Humboldt Child Care Council

IMPERIAL COUNTY

- 13201 AFLP – Teen Life Connection – Imperial County

INYO COUNTY

- 14559 Inyo County GAIN

KERN COUNTY

- 15057 Clinica Sierra Vista AFLP

KINGS COUNTY

- 16013 Kings Community Action Organization

LAKE COUNTY

- 17098 Teen Parenting Services of Lake County

LASSEN COUNTY

- 18573 Lassen County AFLP/ASPPP

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

19017 Youth and Family Center Cal-Learn
19018 Youth and Family Center
19070 Pacoima
19071 El Nido – Manchester
19072 El Nido South Central – South
19073 El Nido Family Services – Antelope Valley
19074 El Nido Family Services – Van Nuys
19099 Children’s Hospital – Project Nateen
19100 Foothill Family Service
19101 Altamed Health Services Corporation – Indiana
19102 Altamed Health Services Corporation – Commerce
19103 Altamed Health Services Corporation – Norwalk

MADERA COUNTY

20588 Madera County AFLP

MENDOCINO COUNTY

23592 Mendocino County Department of Social Services

MERCED COUNTY

24028 Merced County Health Department AFLP – YPP

MONTEREY COUNTY

27202 Monterey County ACT

NAPA COUNTY

28603 Planned Parenthood: Shasta-Diablo

NEVADA COUNTY

29102 Silver Springs High School AFLP

ORANGE COUNTY

30030 Orange County Health Care Agency

PLACER COUNTY

31103 Placer Teenage Pregnancy & Parenting

PLUMAS COUNTY

32614 Plumas County AFLP

RIVERSIDE COUNTY

33032 Riverside County Department of Health

SACRAMENTO COUNTY

34033 Sutter AFLP

SAN BENITO COUNTY

35627 San Benito AFLP/ASPPP

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

36035 San Bernardino County Public Health Department

SAN DIEGO COUNTY

37104 San Diego Unified School District

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY

38040 San Francisco TAPP

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

39107 San Joaquin County Public Health - Adolescent Programs

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

40659 San Luis Obispo County Public Health Department

SAN MATEO COUNTY

41203 San Mateo County Department of Health

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY

42108 Klein Bottle AFLP/Cal-Learn

SANTA CLARA COUNTY

43109 The Connection

SANTA CRUZ COUNTY

44204 PHN Teen Parent Program

SHASTA COUNTY

45110 Northern Valley Catholic Social Services

SISKIYOU COUNTY

47210 Siskiyou County AFLP

SOLANO COUNTY

48205 Planned Parenthood – Fairfield

SONOMA COUNTY

49056 Help for Teen Parents

STANISLAUS COUNTY

50051 Stanislaus County Department of Public Health

TEHAMA COUNTY

52698 Tehama County Health Agency

TULARE COUNTY

54052 Tulare County Tapnet

TUOLUMNE COUNTY

55725 Tuolumne County Health Department

VENTURA COUNTY

56112 Ventura County Health Care Agency

YOLO COUNTY

57113 Yolo County Public Health Department

Case History of an Actual Client

This account illustrates the challenges that many of California's teen parents enrolled in AFLP face and the complexities with which the AFLP case managers have to deal as they guide and mentor these teens and their children toward a healthier, brighter future. Although the details of AFLP teens' life situations vary across the State, any case manager from any one of the AFLP sites will have teens on their caseloads struggling with challenges similar to those retold below.

Tina (not her real name), who came into the program parenting a toddler, attended a local pregnant/parenting education program only sporadically. She did not have the support of the father of the baby, who was much older than she, as he had neither maintained contact with Tina or with their child nor provided for their financial needs. His energies had been focused on his drug-related and other criminal activities. Tina's parents were divorced, and her father had remarried and moved hundreds of miles away. Tina's mother, who had previously obtained guardianship of Tina's daughter for insurance purposes, had her own problems, as she struggled with addictions to alcohol and other drugs. Furthermore, Tina's mother's mental state was deteriorating, thereby jeopardizing her employment. This posed a real threat to Tina and her child, as well as to Tina's two younger siblings, who also lived at home with their mother. To make matters worse, Tina's mother, who neglected her own children's basic needs and her parenting responsibilities, repeatedly threatened to get permanent custody of Tina's daughter. This worried Tina deeply who would have tried to make other living arrangements, except that she feared the power of her mother's guardianship (of Tina's child).

Tina enrolled in AFLP as a result of persistent outreach efforts on the part of the case manager who helped her understand how she might benefit from the program's services. As a result of the supportive relationship developed with the case manager, Tina's participation in AFLP led to a number of positive changes over time. The case manager effectively helped her access the services that she needed which were available in the community. In the context of their working alliance, Tina was able to make good use of the Child Protection Services referral the case manager had made for the family and the legal assistance the case manager found from a local law school that helped Tina regain her daughter's guardianship. After the case manager helped her explore childcare options, Tina ultimately enrolled her daughter in a Healthy Start program. The case manager continued to provide the on-going encouragement, moral support, monitoring, and concrete assistance Tina needed, modeling positive behaviors and life goals. With that support behind her, Tina finally sought out family planning services, obtained part-time employment, completed her high school diploma, and eventually received a scholarship to a local vocational college. Upon completing her scholarship program, Tina secured full-time employment in a rheumatology office. Eventually, Tina and her daughter moved into an apartment. Tina is now financially stable and has not become pregnant again. Throughout this process, the case manager remained available to her by phone and in person; helped her think through problems, options and consequences; steered her in the right direction for information; lent an ear when she was discouraged; and celebrated successes with her.

Tina's story is presented to provide a clear illustration of the impact of case management services on the lives of pregnant and/or parenting teens.

Background

In 1982, there was growing concern over the rates of adolescent pregnancy and parenthood in California. In response, the Maternal and Child Health Branch of the California Department of Health Services established a federal pilot demonstration project known as the Adolescent Family Life Program (AFLP). Its purpose was to establish the validity of a best practice model to address this social concern. The pilot ran from 1982 to 1985 and was evaluated by the University of California, San Francisco. The summary findings indicated the case-management program (with caseloads between 20 and 25 clients per case manager) was effective in addressing the primary goals of the program:

- ❖ Improve health outcomes for infants
- ❖ Encourage clients to stay in school or re-enroll in school
- ❖ Reduce the incidence of repeat pregnancy
- ❖ Monitor the levels of AFDC and Medi-Cal utilization
- ❖ Provide continuous case management to clients to access needed services
- ❖ Involve the adolescent father in the program

At the conclusion of this successful demonstration project in 1985, MCH expanded the AFLP. Parent agencies consisted of Public Health Departments, for-profit clinics, not-for-profit community-based organizations, clinics, hospitals, and school districts. Initially, twenty-seven programs across the state were funded to provide continuous comprehensive case-management services to 4,000 adolescents who were pregnant, parenting, or both. In the first three years, over 7,000 clients were served. (There is not a complete turnover of clients after a year, since, by design, the AFLP allows for a client to be enrolled until her or his twentieth or twenty-first birthday, respectively.)

In 1988, the University of Southern California conducted an independent evaluation of the AFLP. The results indicated that the program interventions reduced overall by 20% the incidence of low birthweight babies among participants younger than 18 years of age -- and by 27% in African-Americans. Additionally, young women who were active participants in the program for at least twelve months postpartum had a repeat birth incidence of 13% which was significantly better than the national reported 20% repeat birthrate. Fully 80% of pregnant clients remained in school, re-enrolled in school, or graduated during their participation in AFLP.

Also in 1988, legislation provided permanent statutory authority for the program. One year later, the statewide program was awarded the American Medical Association's Award for Excellence for intervention in the field of teen pregnancy.

Between 1988 and 1995, the incidence of teen pregnancy and birth increased. In an effort to continue to address the needs of this population with capped funding, the existing programs increased their caseloads to a maximum of 40 for each case manager.

The largest fiscal augmentation to the program occurred in 1996, when the legislature allocated funding that allowed the AFLP to expand into unserved areas. Consequently, the program has grown over the years. Presently, there are nearly 9,000 active AFLP clients being served across fifty-six different sites by forty-seven contracting agencies. This represents the number of clients being served at any given time. Over a year's time, the number of clients served exceeds sixteen thousand. Clearly, AFLP is reaching a great number of California adolescents in need.

The AFLP represents both a program and a particular approach to service provision for pregnant adolescents, adolescent parents, and their children. The crucial elements comprise a comprehensive, bio-psychosocial case management approach. It is family-centered and client-focused, promotes the development of a long-term, supportive relationship with the same case manager, is home- and field-based, is integrated with some degree of center-based group services, and occurs within the context of a cooperative network of relevant service providers. Each client is assigned to a case manager who assesses her/his needs, establishes linkages to needed services, and monitors, evaluates, and advocates for the delivery of those services.

In addition to the nearly nine thousand active pregnant and/or parenting AFLP clients, approximately fifteen hundred siblings, ninety percent of whom are fifteen years of age or under and one-third of whom are male, are being served at any given time for the purpose of primary teen pregnancy prevention. Over a year's time, the number of AFLP siblings served totals eighteen hundred. In terms of race/ethnicity, the distribution for the younger siblings — none of whom have had a pregnancy — matches the distribution of the older AFLP clients. An evaluation of the Sibling Pregnancy Prevention Program is currently being conducted will be provided in a separate report.

The purpose of this report is to present the analyses of the program data, collected via Lodestar, AFLP's management information system since 1988, in order to assess the program's progress in achieving its goals, not only at each site but also across all sites. The text and figures at the beginning of the report present the aggregated data, while the tables at the end present the site-specific information. The above-listed primary goals are addressed in order, following the client profile section.

Client Profile

The age groups and race/ethnicities of active AFLP clients are shown in the tables below for females and males, respectively. Although AFLP clients include pregnant and/or parenting adolescents who enroll voluntarily, the racial/ethnic distribution for female clients is comparable, nonetheless, to that of the population of California female teens who gave birth in 1995 (Birth Certificate Files, CDHS).

Active* AFLP Female Clients

* 8894 active as of November 30, 1997 (Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997)

	10 to 12 yrs	13 to 15 yrs	16 to 17 yrs	18+ yrs	Total (%)
White	6	338	924	74	1342 (15.8)
African American	0	301	556	32	889 (10.4)
Hispanic	29	1991	3510	310	5840 (68.5)
Asian/P.I.	1	58	148	12	219 (2.6)
Other	0	75	147	8	230 (2.7)
Total (%)	36 (0.4)	2763 (32.4)	5285 (62.0)	436 (5.1)	8520

Note: 8520 excludes 99 clients without valid or known values for race/ethnicity and/or age group

Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Female clients represent 96.9% of all active clients. Most female clients are Hispanic (68.5%) and between 16- and 17-years-old (62.0%), while most male clients, though also predominantly Hispanic (60.3%), are slightly older: 86.9% are at least 16-years-old. Table IA (page 46) presents site-specific demographics.

Active* AFLP Male Clients

* 8894 active as of November 30, 1997 (Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997)


	10 to 12 yrs	13 to 15 yrs	16 to 17 yrs	18+ yrs	Total (%)
White	0	3	32	23	58 (21.7)
African American	0	6	14	5	25 (9.4)
Hispanic	0	24	103	34	161 (60.3)
Asian/P.I.	0	1	5	4	10 (3.8)
Other	0	1	7	5	13 (4.9)
Total (%)	0 (0.0)	35 (13.1)	161 (60.3)	71 (26.6)	267

Note: 267 excludes 3 clients without valid or known values for race/ethnicity and/or age group

Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Nearly three in five clients (57.0%) were pregnant (or expecting, in the cases of male clients) at the time of entry into AFLP. Nearly two in five (40.4%) were parenting only, and 2.6% were both pregnant and parenting at time of entry into AFLP. Table IB (page 47) presents site-specific information regarding entry status.


**Percent of Clients Who were Pregnant, Parenting, or Both
at Time of Entry into AFLP**
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Note: 6.2% of the 8894 clients had invalid values for entry status.
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Of those who were pregnant when they enrolled in the program, 24.0% entered during the first trimester, 42.6% entered during the second trimester, and 33.4% entered the program during the third trimester of pregnancy. Table IB (page 47) presents site-specific information with respect to trimester of pregnancy at entry into AFLP.


Trimester of pregnancy at entry into AFLP
Pregnant Clients Active as of November 30, 1997



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Note: 2.7% of the 4972 pregnant clients had invalid values for trimester of entry.
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Housing and household composition data, as reported by AFLP clients at time of entry into the program, are presented below.

Housing and household composition At Time of Entry into AFLP Clients Active as of November 30, 1997



* The client is a possible exception – s/he could be an adult (18+ years of age).

Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997


Note: 7,570 and 8,776 clients had usable values for housing status and living arrangements, respectively.

Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

The above data indicate that 96.6% of clients reported living in a house or an apartment; 72.4 % live with family (which may include the client's partner), 7.2% with non-relative adult, and 15.5% of clients are living with their partners only. Further analysis of these data reveals 91.4% of clients 10 to 12 years of age are living with family, and none are homeless or living in a shelter, public house, hotel, or motel.

The data on employment status of AFLP clients at the time of entry into the program are presented below. The data indicate that 84.6% of the clients were not working, 8.3% were seeking employment, 6.7% were working, and 0.3% were in job training.

Employment status of AFLP Clients At Time of Entry into program Clients Active as of November 30, 1997




Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997

Note: 8,847 clients had usable values for work status at intake.

Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Further analyses of these data indicate that no clients 10 to 12 years of age were seeking employment, working, or in job training. In addition, only 1.2% of all 13 to 15 year-olds, 6.1% of all 16 to 17 year-olds, and 6.2% of all 18+ year-olds report that they were both enrolled in school and working at the time of entry into AFLP, as presented below.

**Percent of Clients Enrolled in School and Working
at Time of Entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Note: These are data from 7,976 clients with usable age, school status, and work status data.
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

These data help explain the relationships between the ages of clients and how school statuses and employment statuses may be impacted, as clients seek to attain self-sufficiency. Table IC (page 48) contains site-specific work- and housing-related data, and Tables IIIA and IIIB (pages 55-56) present more detailed site-specific education-related data.

Health Outcomes

The Adolescent Family Life Program monitors a number of health indicators related to (1) pregnancy and birth, (2) immunizations, (3) injuries and domestic violence, and (4) health risk behaviors. Each of these categories is presented below:


1. Pregnancy and Birth

The first category of health outcomes includes variables related to pregnancy and birth: prenatal care, gestation period, length of hospital stay, and birthweight.

Prenatal Care

The following figure presents the trimester prenatal care began stratified by the trimester of pregnancy during which the client entered AFLP. Table IIA (page 49) presents site-specific data.

**Trimester of pregnancy prenatal care (PNC) began
by trimester of pregnancy at entry into AFLP**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997

Note: Any client without both a valid value for trimester at entry and a Pregnancy Outcome form was excluded.

Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

A total of 783 pregnant clients entered AFLP during the first trimester of pregnancy. Of these,

- ❖ 86.5% began prenatal care during the first trimester,
- ❖ 11.1% began prenatal care during the second trimester,
- ❖ 1.9% began prenatal care during the third trimester, and
- ❖ 0.5% received no prenatal care.

The above data suggest that there are some clients who entered the program early but began their prenatal care late. (Specifically, those with third trimester only or no prenatal care are in this group.)

Next, of the 1,473 clients who entered AFLP in the second trimester of pregnancy,

- ❖ 67.7% began prenatal care during the first trimester,
- ❖ 28.9% began prenatal care during the second trimester,
- ❖ 3.2% began prenatal care during the third trimester, and
- ❖ 0.2% received no prenatal care.

These data indicate that two out of three clients (67.7%) began prenatal care prior to entry into AFLP, while over 3% received late or no prenatal care even after entry into the program.

Finally, of the 1,376 clients who entered AFLP in the third trimester of pregnancy,


- ❖ 59.2% began prenatal care during the first trimester,
- ❖ 27.5% began prenatal care during the second trimester,
- ❖ 13.1% began prenatal care during the third trimester, and
- ❖ 0.2% received no prenatal care.

Nearly 90% of these clients began prenatal care prior to entry into AFLP. However, there were some who received no prenatal care after enrollment.

Gestation Period

The following figure presents the percentages of births that were premature, mature, and postmature. These data indicate that 88.5% were mature births, and only 10.5% were preterm births, which is a considerably smaller proportion than the 13.7% born before the 37th week in the general teen population (Birth Certificate Files, 1995, CDHS). Table IIB (page 50) presents site-specific data on the gestation period.

**Gestation Period of Live Births to AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**




Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Note: These are 3,413 live births to women with usable gestational, demographic, and birthweight data.
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

* In contrast, 13.7% of births to teens in the general population are premature.

Length of Hospital Stay

The lengths of hospital stay for childbirth, for both the infant and the mother, are shown in the figure below. Approximately seventy percent of both infants and mothers remained in the hospital for 1 to 3 days, while 9.6% of the clients and 13.8% of the infants stayed for more than 4 days. Table IIB (page 50) contains site-specific data for length of hospital stay.

Length of Hospital Stay for Childbirth AFLP Clients and their Infants Active Clients as of November 30, 1997




Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Note: 3,004 clients had usable values for length of hospital stay for self and infant.
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Birthweight


The figure below presents prevalence of low birthweight (i.e., births weighing less than 2500 grams) by race/ethnicity of the mother. The overall prevalence is 7.5%, which is approximately equal to the percent of teen births weighing less than 2500 grams in the

Low Birthweight (<2500g) Prevalence Estimates and 95% Confidence Intervals by Race/Ethnicity of Mother AFLP Clients Who had Live Births and Were Active as of November 30, 1997



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program, Maternal & Child Health (MCH) Branch, California Department of Health Services
 Prepared by: Office of Program Data and Evaluation, Epidemiology & Evaluation Section, MCH Branch, 1998

general population (7.7% in the Birth Certificate Files, 1995, CDHS). In other words, 15 out of 200 AFLP births weigh less than 2500 grams. Next, the prevalence of low birthweight by age group of the mother is presented below.



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program, Maternal & Child Health (MCH) Branch, California Department of Health Services
Prepared by: Office of Program Data and Evaluation, Epidemiology & Evaluation Section, MCH Branch, 1998

From these figures which present low birthweight prevalences, the following is true about clients who delivered a live infant while active in AFLP:

- ❖ Low birthweight births were most prevalent among African Americans (11.4%) and Asian/Pacific Islanders (17.5%).
- ❖ Whites, Hispanics, and those of other race/ethnicity were affected to much lesser extents, with prevalences of 4.4%, 7.2%, and 9.0%, respectively.
- ❖ Clients aged 10 to 12 years, regardless of race/ethnicity, had the greatest risk of giving birth to a low birthweight baby.
- ❖ The race/ethnicity- and age group-specific birthweight data from AFLP clients reflect those of the California Birth Certificate Files (1995, CDHS) for African-Americans, Hispanics, those of other race/ethnicity, and for those between 13 and 17 years of age.
- ❖ White AFLP teens appear to have a lower risk of delivering a low birthweight birth than do White teens in the general population (4.4% vs. 6.7%, $p<0.05$), and Asian/Pacific Islander AFLP teens appear to have a higher risk of delivering a low birthweight birth than do Asian/Pacific Islander teens in the general population (17.5% vs. 9.8%, $p<0.05$).

Site-specific birthweight-related data are presented in Table IIC (page 51). Specifically, this table contains not only the prevalence figures of low and very low birthweight but also the mean birthweight (and standard deviation, minimum, maximum, and range) by agency.


The mean birthweight for each race/ethnicity and age group is presented below. The overall mean birthweight was 3,251.0 grams (i.e., 7 pounds and 3 ounces). These birthweight data indicate that:

- ❖ Infants born to mothers who are African American or Asian/Pacific Islander weighed statistically significantly less than those born to mothers who are White, Hispanic, or of other race/ethnicity ($p < 0.05$). See Appendix, page 44, for the Analysis of Variance and Duncan's New Multiple Range Test.

Mean Birthweight (grams) by Race/ethnicity of Mother

AFLP Clients Who had Live Births and Were Active as of November 30, 1997

Overall Mean = 3251.0 g



Birthweights > 5000 grams were excluded.

*African-American and Asian/P.I. means are statistically significantly different from the other three racial/ethnic group means.


Source: Adolescent Family Life Program, Maternal & Child Health (MCH) Branch, California Department of Health Services
Prepared by: Office of Program Data and Evaluation, Epidemiology & Evaluation Section, MCH Branch, 1998

- ❖ Infants born to very young mothers had a mean birthweight of 2,902.6 grams, while those born to the three groups of older teens had mean birthweights between 3,200 and 3,300 grams. No statistically significant differences were found.

Mean Birthweight (grams) by Age Group of Mother

AFLP Clients Who had Live Births and Were Active as of November 30, 1997

Overall Mean = 3251.0 g



Note: Birthweights > 5000 grams were excluded.


Source: Adolescent Family Life Program, Maternal & Child Health (MCH) Branch, California Department of Health Services
Prepared by: Office of Program Data and Evaluation, Epidemiology & Evaluation Section, MCH Branch, 1998

2. Immunizations

Index Child

For clients who enter AFLP while pregnant whether parenting or not, the first child born in the program is the index child. For clients who enter the program parenting only, the youngest child at the time of intake is the index child. The figure below presents data on the immunization status of the index child at the time of the client's entry into the program: 88.1% of the index children were up to date at the time of entry.


Immunization Status of the Index Child at Entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Note: These are data from 3,544 women with index children with usable immunization data.
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Next, the following figure presents the data on the immunization statuses of those index children who were not up to date at intake. In other words, one can see the extent to which those who weren't immunized at intake got immunized while in AFLP.

At Most Recent Follow-Up:
Immunization Status of the Index Children
Who Were Not Up to Date at Entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Note: These are data from 265 women (1) who had at least one follow-up; (2) who had usable values for immunization status on both forms; and (3) had an index child who was not up to date at intake.
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998


The above data indicate that 86% of AFLP clients whose index children were not up to date with respect to their immunizations at entry into the program had obtained the necessary immunizations for their children by the time of the most recent follow-up report. Additional analyses suggest that this is the case for 95.0% clients who were pregnant only; 92.3% who were parenting only; and 84.7% of clients who were both pregnant and parenting at the time of entry into AFLP.

Client

The immunization statuses of the clients at entry are presented below. At the time of entry into the program:

- ❖ 63.3% of the clients had received the Hepatitis B vaccine;
- ❖ 87.8% of the clients had received the test for exposure to tuberculosis;
- ❖ 89.5% of the clients had received the Measles/Mumps/Rubella vaccine;
- ❖ 87.8% of the clients had received the Tetanus and Diphtheria vaccine.

As of intake into AFLP: the percent of clients who had received vaccines / the test for exposure to tuberculosis
AFLP Clients Active as of November 30, 1997



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Site-specific immunization data are presented in Table IID (page 52).

3. Injuries and Domestic Violence

The following category of health outcomes includes variables related to injuries and domestic violence.

During each follow-up visit with each client, a case manager assesses if the client has experienced an intentional injury and whether or not it was self-inflicted. If the client has experienced an injury that is not self-inflicted, the case manager assesses if the injury is a result of domestic violence.

The table below presents data on these injuries, both self-inflicted and non-self-inflicted. Of the non-self-inflicted, the percentage resulting from domestic violence is presented. It is important to note that the following table shows the percentages of follow-up reports on clients in which an injury was reported, not the number (or percentage) of clients reporting violent episodes. There were 11,124 follow-up visits for 8,894 clients. These data indicate:

- ❖ In less than one percent (0.9%) of the follow-up visits was a known or suspected intentional self-inflicted injury (ISII) assessed and reported by a case manager.
- ❖ In 3.4 percent of the follow-up visits was a known or suspected intentional non-self-inflicted injury (INSII) assessed and reported by a case manager.
- ❖ 72.2% of the INSIIs were suspected by the case manager to have resulted from domestic violence.

Intentional Self-Inflicted Injuries (ISII) and Intentional Non-Self-Inflicted Injuries (INSII)
Known or Suspected at time of Follow-up
Percentage of INSII resulting from Domestic Violence (DV)
Based on 11,124 Follow-ups (i.e., encounters) with 8,894 AFLP Clients
Active as of November 30, 1997

	Total	White	African American	Hispanic	Asian/PI	Other
%ISII n=9644	0.9	0.8	1.5	0.9	0.3	1.1
%INSII n=9622	3.4	5.4	2.9	3.0	3.9	3.9
% of INSII from DV n=281	72.2	85.3	61.9	69.0	91.7	28.6

Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997

Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

The risk of intentional non-self-inflicted injury resulting from domestic violence may be higher for Whites and Asian/Pacific Islanders, relative to the other racial/ethnic groups.

Site-specific data on intentional self-inflicted injuries and intentional non-self-inflicted injuries and the percentage of the latter type resulting from domestic violence are presented in Table IIE (page 53).


4. Health Risk Behaviors

The following outcomes category includes information about smoking status, environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposure, and alcohol and substance abuse.

Smoking Status and ETS Exposure

First, the figure below presents smoking-related data collected at the time of entry into AFLP. While only 6% of clients were smokers (with more than 90% of them smoking less than one pack per day), one client in three is exposed to ETS at home.


**Smoking Status and Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS)
At Time of Entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Note: 8,720 and 7,319 clients had usable values for smoking status and living with a smoker, respectively.
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Next, the smoking statuses of AFLP clients at their most recent follow-ups are presented. The following data collected at the most recent follow-up visit are stratified by smoking status as of the time of entry into the program.

**Smoking status at the most recent Follow Up
Compared to Smoking status at Intake
Active as of November 30, 1997**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Note: These clients (1) had at least one follow-up, (2) had valid values on the most recent one, and (3) were smokers (n=226) or nonsmokers (n=4,369) at Intake.
 * 15.5% of those classified as "Smokers" at Intake claimed to be "Never Smokers" at the most recent Follow Up.
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

These data indicate that of those who were smoking at the time of entry into the program, 11.1% reported they stopped smoking. In contrast, of those who weren't smoking at entry, 5.9% started to smoke as of the most recent follow-up visit. Thus, the percentage of AFLP clients with a reduced risk is nearly twice the percentage of AFLP clients with an increased risk.


Alcohol and Substance Abuse

The final figure in this section presents more risk factor data. Specifically, these are alcohol- and substance abuse-related data that are not mutually exclusive. Via review by the case manager:

- ❖ 96.2% of clients did not abuse alcohol at the time of entry into AFLP;
- ❖ 96.4% of clients did not abuse substances (e.g., narcotics, cocaine, marijuana, methamphetamines, hallucinogenics, tranquilizers) at the time of entry into the program.

It is important to note that these data are generated from reviews by the case managers; consequently, the occurrence of abuse may be underreported. For example, the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System indicates that in California, nearly three in ten high school students (27.3%) engage in episodic heavy drinking (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1995).

**Prevalence of Alcohol and Substance Abuse
Reported at Time of Entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997

Note: Unknowns were excluded in each case.

Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Site-specific data on smoking status, living with a smoker, and alcohol and substance abuse are presented in Table IIF (page 54).

The above figures in this health outcomes category presented some behavioral risk factor data; however, there are additional behavioral risk factor data (e.g., contraception use and repeat pregnancy) which will be addressed below.

Educational Outcomes

The second goal of the Adolescent Family Life Program relates to education. The program is designed to:


- ❖ encourage those clients already in school to stay and complete their studies;
- ❖ encourage reenrollment for those clients not currently in school.

Data are collected on several education-related indicators, including (1) educational level, (2) enrollment status, (3) school types, (4) instructional strategy, and (5) types of pregnant/parenting program. Each of these indicators is presented below:

1. Educational Level

The figure below presents data on the last grade completed at time of entry into AFLP. The data indicate that nearly five percent of clients enter AFLP with either less than 6th grade completion or no formal education. Further analysis indicates that within each completion level, there is a range of ages that spans about 5 years. For example, the clients who reported having completed the eleventh grade by the time of entry into the program range in age from about 14 to about 19 years of age.

Last grade completed at time of entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Note: 1.1% of the 8894 clients had unusable values for last grade completed.
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998


2. Enrollment Status

With respect to school enrollment status at time of entry into AFLP, the figure below indicates that over half (57.4%) were enrolled and attending, 2.6% had graduated, and the remaining 40% were not attending school. Specifically, at the time of entry:

- ❖ 57.4% of clients are enrolled in school and attending;
- ❖ 7.6% of clients, though enrolled, aren't attending;

- ❖ 18.8% of the clients are simply not enrolled;
- ❖ 13.4% of the clients have dropped out of school prior to entry into AFLP;
- ❖ 0.2% of the clients are expelled at time of entry;
- ❖ 2.6% have received a high school diploma or GED.

School enrollment status at time of entry into AFLP Clients Active as of November 30, 1997



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997

Note: 9.9% of the 8894 clients had invalid values for school status.


Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Follow-ups for Those Enrolled in School and Attending at Entry

Next, the figure below presents school enrollment data collected at the most recent follow-ups for the 57.4% who were enrolled in school and were attending at time of entry into the program. This figure addresses the current school statuses of those clients at the time of their most recent follow-up reports. Most notably:

- ❖ 67.2% are still enrolled and attending school;
- ❖ 12.7% have received a high school diploma or GED.

School enrollment status of those who were enrolled in school at the time of entry into AFLP as of the most recent Follow-up form Clients Active as of November 30, 1997



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997

Note: 2097 clients (1) had at least one follow-up, (2) had valid values on the most recent one, and (3) were enrolled at intake. (4601 Intakes indicated enrollees.)

Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998


Therefore, four in five of the clients who were enrolled at the time of entry into the program stayed in school – with some completing their studies while in AFLP.

Follow-ups for Those Dropped out of School Prior to Entry

Further, the figure below presents school enrollment data collected at the most recent follow-ups for those who had dropped out prior to enrollment in AFLP. In other words, this figure addresses what those who had dropped out of school prior to enrolling in AFLP are doing as of their most recent follow-up visit.

- ❖ 30.1% are now enrolled and attending school;
- ❖ 6.0% have earned a high school diploma or GED.

**School enrollment status of clients who had dropped out of school
by the time of entry into AFLP
as of the most recent Follow-up form
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Note: 764 clients (1) had at least one follow-up, (2) had valid values on the most recent one, and (3) were dropped out at intake. (1078 Intakes indicated drop-outs.)
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Thus, of those dropped out at intake, 36.1% had reenrolled in school or had finished school. These findings suggest that the program has been successful in promoting school enrollment and attendance within the AFLP client population.

The data in the above two figures also indicate that there are some clients having difficulty with respect to their school enrollment, as:


- ❖ 2.6% of those enrolled and attending at the time entry into AFLP dropped out;
- ❖ 63.9% of those who had dropped out by the time of entry into AFLP remain out of school as of their most recent follow-up visits.

3. School Types

Next, the two figures below present data on the types of schools in which the clients are enrolled. Data for primary and secondary schools, as well as for post-secondary schools, are presented.

- ❖ Of those in primary or secondary schools, most (52.8%) attend public or private schools; the balance of the clients attends continuation schools (19.5%), alternative/adult educational programs (21.5%), and other programs (6.2%).


**Type of primary or secondary school attended
at time of entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Note: 6,239 clients had a usable value at Intake for Type of School.
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

- ❖ Of the 340 clients in post-secondary schools, 19.7% are enrolled in technical or vocational schools, 27.1% are in community colleges, 3.8% are in four-year colleges or universities, while the rest (49.4%) are enrolled elsewhere.

**Type of post-secondary school attended
at time of entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**




Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Note: 340 clients had a usable value at Intake for Post-secondary School Type.
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

The information on school types presented above indicate that nearly half of the enrolled clients are attending educational programs other than elementary, junior high, and senior high schools, or, in the case of post-secondary school students, other than technical/vocational, community, and four year colleges/universities.

4. Instructional Strategy

The figure below presents data on the primary instructional strategies used to educate AFLP clients. The data indicate that 55.2% of the clients receive mainstream education, 24.7% receive independent study, 17.0% receive self contained-classroom instruction, and 3.1% receive home or hospital instruction.

**Primary instructional strategies used to educate clients
as reported at time of entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**




Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Note: 5,272 clients had a usable value at Intake for Primary Instructional Strategy.
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

5. Types of Pregnant/Parenting Program

About one quarter of the clients reported attending a pregnant/parenting program. The pie chart below clarifies the pregnant/parenting program types with which the clients are involved at the time of entry into AFLP. Site-specific educational data (including enrollment data and types of educational programs and strategies) are presented in Tables IIIA and IIIB (pages 55-56).

**Type of pregnant/parenting program at time of entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Note: 2,403 clients had a usable value at Intake for Type of Pregnant/Parenting Program.
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Repeat Pregnancies

In order to assess the degree to which AFLP has been successful in reducing the burden of teen pregnancy, it is important to monitor the number of repeat pregnancies reported within this high-risk group of adolescents and teens. However, due to the variation in the clients' lengths of stay, along with the inability to track repeat pregnancies and births once a client has left AFLP, it is difficult to quantify the success of the program with respect to this goal.

Data from 11,124 follow-up visits with 8,894 clients who were active in AFLP as of November 30, 1997, indicate that there was a total of 438 repeat live births to these clients. Therefore, in 3.9% of the follow-up visits for this group of 8,894 clients, a repeat pregnancy that resulted in a live birth was reported.

Therefore, a case manager can reasonably expect that in four out of one hundred follow-up visits (with clients whose participation characteristics match those of the 8,894 included in this analysis), a repeat pregnancy that has resulted in a live birth will be reported.

Of course, this is only an estimate of the true number of repeat pregnancies for all AFLP clients, for two main reasons:

- ❖ First, it is not possible to know how the measure (i.e., 3.9%) in this group of clients compares with the measure in the group of clients who have exited the program; perhaps their inability to stay in the program suggests they're not comparable for other measures of interest (e.g., repeat pregnancy) either.
- ❖ Second, because this measure is determined only by virtue of a live birth outcome, it is an underestimate as not all pregnancies have this outcome.


With respect to race/ethnicity, the following is true of the 439 live births (one could not be stratified):

- ❖ 13.3% (58) were born to a mother who is White;
- ❖ 10.1% (44) were born to a mother who is African American;
- ❖ 71.2% (311) were born to a mother who is Hispanic;
- ❖ 4.8% (21) were born to a mother who is Asian/Pacific Islander;
- ❖ 0.7% (3) were born to a mother who is of other race/ethnicity.

In comparing these percentages with the those of the client population (Table IA, page 46), it appears that in the repeat births there is an over-representation of Asian/Pacific Islander clients (4.8% above but 2.6% in Table IA) and an under-representation of clients who are of other race/ethnicity (0.7% above but 2.8% in Table IA). For the other three racial/ethnic groups (i.e., White, African American, and Hispanic), the differences in percentages are not noteworthy.


The degree to which a racial/ethnic group appears to be over- or under-represented may be affected by varying lengths of enrollment; however, distributional differences are not due to unequal follow-up rates, as the racial/ethnic distribution of the 11,124 follow-ups matched the racial/ethnic distribution of the 8,894 active clients.

The figure below presents the use of contraception at time of entry into AFLP. It is noteworthy that only one quarter of those who are sexually active (and not pregnant) claimed at intake to always use contraception. One quarter claimed to use it sometimes, and half claimed at intake to never use contraception. Percentages for those who are abstaining and those who are pregnant are given as well.



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Contraception use as of the most recent follow-up for those who always used (or abstained or were pregnant) at the time of intake into AFLP is presented below.




Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

According to the figure above, among clients who always used contraception (or abstained or were pregnant) at the time of intake into the program, five in six clients (83.4%) continued to be regular users of contraception (or were abstinent or pregnant) at the time of the most recent follow-up visit, while 16.5% had become irregular users of contraception.

Further, contraception use as of their most recent follow-ups for those who either never or only sometimes used it at the time of intake into the program is presented below.

Use of contraception at most recent follow-up for
Clients who either never or only sometimes used contraception at Intake and were
Active as of November 30, 1997
2,378 of these clients had intakes and follow-ups with usable values for contraception use



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

Of those who were irregular users (either never or only sometimes used contraception) at the time of intake into the program, over half (51.3%) were always using it at the most recent follow-up, and 15.7% reported to be abstinent at the most recent follow-up.

The data also indicate that 27.7% continued to be irregular users (still reported either never using (11.1%) or only sometimes using (16.6%) contraception) at the time of the most recent follow-up visit.

Finally, AFLP clients have used a variety of types of contraception. At the time of entry into the program, the condom was used most (62.6%), followed by the pill (21.5%), Depo-Provera (10.6%), and withdrawal (2.3%). Data from clients' most recent follow-up visits indicated similar patterns, though use of the pill was slightly more prevalent at the time of the follow-up visit than it was at the time of entry into the program.

Site-specific data on repeat pregnancies (from the client follow-up forms) and contraception use at intake are presented in Table IV (page 57).

AFDC and Medi-Cal Utilization

The pregnant and/or parenting adolescents enrolled in AFLP are typically economically disadvantaged. About one-third of the clients were receiving or in need of AFDC (Aid to Families with Dependent Children — the precursor to CalWORKS) and about four-fifths were receiving or in need of Medi-Cal.


At the time of intake into AFLP, of 8,894 clients active as of November 30, 1997, with respect to Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC):

- ❖ 16.5% were already receiving AFDC;
- ❖ 8.4% were not receiving it but were referred;
- ❖ 9.7% were not receiving it, were in need of it, but were not referred;
- ❖ 0.2% were not receiving it, as it was not available to them;
- ❖ 40.6% were not receiving it and were not in need of it;
- ❖ 23.2% were not receiving it but were ineligible for it;
- ❖ 1.4% refused the service of the case manager regarding AFDC.

With respect to Medi-Cal:

- ❖ 61.6% were already receiving Medi-Cal;
- ❖ 9.8% were not receiving it but were referred;
- ❖ 8.2% were not receiving it, were in need of it, but were not referred;
- ❖ 0.1% were not receiving it, as it was not available to them;
- ❖ 15.0% were not receiving it and were not in need of it;
- ❖ 5.1% were not receiving it but were ineligible for it;
- ❖ 0.2% refused the service of the case manager regarding Medi-Cal.

**Utilization of AFDC and Medi-Cal at time of entry into AFLP
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Note: 8648 and 8667 clients had usable values for AFDC and Medi-Cal, respectively, at Intake.
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

The above data suggest that at the time of entry into the program, about eight to ten percent of AFLP clients are in need of referrals to either AFDC or Medi-Cal and at the time of intake have not yet received them. However, approximately the same percentage of clients is in need of these services and has received them prior to enrollment.

Site specific AFDC and Medi-Cal utilization data collected at time of entry into the program are presented in Table V (page 58).

Next, utilization data for other services are presented below. These data were collected at the time of intake into AFLP from 8,894 clients active as of November 30, 1997.

Utilization of Selected Services by 8,894 AFLP Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

Name of Service Utilized	# of Clients with usable data	% Receiving service	% Not receiving, referred	% Not receiving, not referred	% Not receiving, not available	% Not receiving, not needed	% Not receiving, ineligible	% Refused services
CA Children Svcs	7317	0.7	0.7	1.6	0.1	95.5	0.9	0.6
CHDP	7278	7.3	6.9	11.5	0.0	72.9	0.9	0.6
Family Planning	8636	18.9	18.5	28.7	0.0	32.5	0.9	0.5
Prenatal Care	8687	50.4	3.5	2.5	0.0	42.1	1.3	0.1
WIC	8647	65.8	14.8	7.9	0.0	8.8	2.3	0.3
Employment	8647	6.1	5.4	16.6	0.8	64.3	6.0	0.8
Food Stamps	7318	16.0	6.8	9.5	0.1	50.0	16.3	1.3
DV Intervention	7312	0.6	1.1	2.2	0.0	95.1	0.2	0.8
Housing	8664	24.2	2.1	5.0	0.2	67.9	0.5	0.2

Source: Adolescent Family Life Program data through November 30, 1997

Prepared by: Maternal and Child Health Branch, California Department of Health Services, 1998

These data suggest that there was a number of referrals needed by clients at time of entry into AFLP that in some cases had not yet been made. This appeared to be the case with CHDP, family planning, and employment services. Further, these data indicate that some services are not needed and, therefore, not used by a great percentage of the clients. These include California Children Services, Domestic Violence (DV) Intervention, Child Health and Disability Prevention services, and housing services.

Continuous Case Management

In order to assess progress toward this goal area, two types of analyses were performed. The first pertains to the data forms collected and entered, while the second pertains to the referrals made.

1. Data forms collected and entered in Lodestar

As an indication of the degree to which case managers conducted and recorded in the Lodestar management information system follow-up and other visits, the number of forms received and the number of forms not received (for any client active during a six-month period) were counted. Based on these counts, a process-focused measure (i.e., percentage of forms missing) was determined for each agency.


The data indicate that the site-specific percentage of missing forms (Intakes, Follow-ups, and Pregnancy Outcomes) for a six-month time period (i.e., May 1, 1997 to October 31, 1997) ranges from a low of 0.0% to a high of 65.8%, with an average of 13.7% missing. Taken together, the number of missing forms overall during this time period was 1,661, while the number of forms received totals 10,502. Overall, this is a fairly good response rate; however, three sites were missing more than 40% of the required forms.

2. Referrals made

The numbers and percentages of various types of referrals made by case managers for AFLP clients during any case management visit (Intake, Pregnancy Outcome, Follow-up) and recorded in the Lodestar management information system were counted and calculated. Based on these counts, for 8,894 clients active as of November 30, 1997, the following referrals were made and recorded during 24,148 case management visits:

- ❖ 9.4% of referrals were made to Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC);
- ❖ 9.8% were to Medi-Cal;
- ❖ 1.0% were to California Children Services (CCS);
- ❖ 10.1% were to Child Health and Disability Prevention (CHDP);
- ❖ 30.2% were to family planning services;
- ❖ 2.3% were to Prenatal Care;
- ❖ 10.5% were to Women, Infants, and Children (WIC);
- ❖ 13.1% were to employment services;
- ❖ 6.9% were to get food stamps;
- ❖ 2.4% were to Domestic Violence intervention;
- ❖ 4.4% were to housing services.

**Referrals to selected services made for 8,894 AFLP Clients
During 24,148 Case Management Visits
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
 Note: These are referrals made during 24,148 case management visits with 8,894 AFLP clients
 Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

These referrals for 8,894 AFLP clients total 18,514. Thus, on average, each of these clients received approximately two referrals (i.e., 2.1 referrals per client).

Site-specific data on these referrals are presented in Table VI (page 59).

Involvement of the Fathers

Due to the inability to link with certainty male and female clients together as parents of a given index child, it is not possible to evaluate the degree to which the father of a given female client's index child is involved. Therefore, the results of analyses designed to investigate some of the issues related to paternal involvement will be presented instead. These data include the (1) ages of the mothers of the index children whose fathers are clients and the ages of the fathers of the index children whose mothers are clients; (2) the extent to which male clients received referrals by case managers, relative to female clients; and (3) the percentages of female clients who felt safe with the father of the baby (or with the partner if not the father of the baby), both at the time of entry into AFLP and at the time of the most recent follow-up report.

1. Age difference issues

The data indicate that male AFLP clients are generally older than the mothers of their index children are, while female AFLP clients are generally younger than the fathers of their index children are. At the time of entry into AFLP, the following was true for the 8,894 clients active in AFLP as of November 30, 1997:

For male clients:

- ❖ The mothers of the index children of the two 14-year-old male clients were 13 and 14 years of age.
- ❖ The average age of the mothers of the index children of the 15-year-old male clients was 16.2 years, with a range in ages from 13 to 24 years.
- ❖ The average age of the mothers of the index children of the 16-year-old male clients was 15.8 years, with a range in ages from 14 to 21 years.
- ❖ The average age of the mothers of the index children of the 17-year-old male clients was 16.4 years, with a range in ages from 14 to 22 years.
- ❖ The average age of the mothers of the index children of the 18-year-old male clients was 16.9 years, with a range in ages from 14 to 21 years.
- ❖ The average age of the mothers of the index children of the 19-year-old male clients was 16.8 years, with a range in ages from 15 to 21 years.
- ❖ The average age of the mothers of the index children of the 20-year-old male clients was 16.6 years, with a range in ages from 15 to 17 years.


For female clients:

- ❖ The fathers of the index children of the two 10-year-old female clients were 17 and 22 years of age.
- ❖ The average age of the fathers of the index children of the 12-year-old female clients was 18.0 years, with a range in ages from 14 to 28 years.
- ❖ The average age of the fathers of the index children of the 13-year-old female clients was 19.2 years, with a range in ages from 14 to 45 years.
- ❖ The average age of the fathers of the index children of the 14-year-old female clients was 18.2 years, with a range in ages from 13 to 35 years.
- ❖ The average age of the fathers of the index children of the 15-year-old female clients was 18.5 years, with a range in ages from 11 to 79 years.

- ❖ The average age of the fathers of the index children of the 16-year-old female clients was 19.0 years, with a range in ages from 12 to 80 years.
- ❖ The average age of the fathers of the index children of the 17-year-old female clients was 19.8 years, with a range in ages from 14 to 40 years.
- ❖ The average age of the fathers of the index children of the 18-year-old female clients was 20.4 years, with a range in ages from 15 to 45 years.
- ❖ The average age of the fathers of the index children of the 19-year-old female clients was 21.6 years, with a range in ages from 17 to 34 years.
- ❖ The average age of the fathers of the index children of the 20-year-old female clients was 21.4 years, with a range in ages from 15 to 26 years.

2. Referral issues

While male clients represent 3.0% of the clientele active in AFLP as of November 30, 1997, follow-up visits with male clients represent 2.3% of all follow-up visits, and referrals made for male clients represent 1.9% of all referrals made. The figure below presents selected service referrals made for male clients, relative to female clients, during any case management visit. In all, 270 male clients received 352 referrals (an average of 1.3), and 8,619 female clients received 18,153 referrals (an average of 2.1).



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997

Notes: These are referrals made during 24,148 case management visits with 8,894 AFLP clients; 24,137 visits include a usable value for the gender of the client.

Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998


Further analyses suggest that for every male client served in AFLP, there are about 32 female clients served in AFLP; for each case management visit that takes place with a male client, there are about 43 case management visits that take place with female clients; and for each referral made for a male client, there are about 52 referrals made for female clients.

3. Safety issues

Though having both parents (or partners) together may be advantageous (marital status is in Table IB, page 47), this would be ill advised if a client claims that she does not feel safe with the father of the baby (or with the partner, if not father of the baby).

The figure below presents the percent of female clients who felt safe with the father of the baby (or with the partner if not father of the baby) at the time of entry into the program. These data suggest that, in large part, the female clients felt safe with the father of the baby (91.2%) or the partner (84.9%) at the time of entry into the program. Therefore, safety does not appear to be an issue that would contribute to a lack of paternal involvement.

**Percent of clients who feel safe with the father of the baby (FOB)
or the partner (if not father of the baby)
At time of entry into the program
Active Female Clients as of November 30, 1997**



Source: Adolescent Family Life Program Data through November 30, 1997
Note: 6,530 and 946 female clients had usable values for safe with FOB and safe with partner, respectively.
Prepared by: California Department of Health Services, Maternal & Child Health Branch, 1998

These safety concerns, of course, should be monitored at each case management visit, because “feeling safe or not” changed over time for more than half of the female clients:

- ❖ 6.1% of those women who reported they felt safe with the father of the baby at intake did not feel safe with him as of the most recent follow-up visit;
- ❖ 49.8% of those women who reported they did not feel safe with the father of the baby at intake did feel safe with him as of the most recent follow-up visit;
- ❖ 2.2% of those women who reported they felt safe with the partner (not the father of the baby) at intake did not feel safe with him as of the most recent follow-up visit;
- ❖ 41.7% of those women who reported they did not feel safe with the partner (not the father of the baby) at intake did feel safe with him as of the most recent follow-up visit.

Appendix

An Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed to test whether the mean of at least one racial/ethnic group differed statistically from the mean of at least one other racial/ethnic group. The following ANOVA table indicates that this is the case:

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	degrees of freedom	Mean Square	F	Signif.
Weight	Between Groups	2.0E+07	4	4989159	17.337	<0.0001
	Within Groups	1.0E+09	3590	287777.7		
	Total	1.1E+09	3594			

Since the significance in the right hand cell is less than 0.05, it indicates that the mean of at least one racial/ethnic group differed from the mean of at least one other racial/ethnic group. In order to find out what real differences in means actually exist across the racial/ethnic groups, multiple tests of comparisons were performed. The following Duncan's New Multiple Range Test indicates:

- ❖ The mean birthweight for infants born to mothers who are African American is statistically significantly different from the mean birthweights for infants born to mothers who are Hispanic, White, or of other race/ethnicity.
- ❖ The mean birthweight for infants born to mothers who are Asian/Pacific Islander (PI) is statistically significantly different from the mean birthweights for infants born to mothers who are Hispanic, White, or of other race/ethnicity.

Birthweight for 5 Race/ethnicities

Duncan ^{a,b}

Race and ethnicity	Sample Size	Subset for alpha = .05		
		1	2	3
Asian/PI	103	3039.9612		
African American	399	3133.3960		
Hispanic	2432		3246.4901	
Other	78		3323.6667	3323.6667
White	583			3377.9074
Significance		.095	.168	.332

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 184.081

b. The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

When the same statistical tests were invoked to determine if the mean of at least one age group differed statistically from the mean of at least one other age group, the finding was not significant. This may be due to the small sample size in the youngest age category.

List of Site-specific Data Tables

	<u>Page</u>
Table IA Demographic Information	46
Table IB Entry Status of Clients and Trimester of Pregnancy at Entry	47
Table IC Work Status, Housing, and Living Arrangements at Time of Entry into AFLP	48
Table IIA Trimester Prenatal Care Began	49
Table IIB Gestation Period for Live Births and Length of Hospital Stay	50
Table IIC Prevalence of Low and Very Low Birthweight and Birthweight Descriptive Statistics	51
Table IID Immunizations for Index Children and Clients	52
Table IIE Intentional Injuries and Domestic Violence	53
Table IIF Smoking, Alcohol, and Substance Abuse	54
Table IIIA Last Grade Completed and School Status.....	55
Table IIIB Types of Schools, Types of Pregnant/Parenting Program, and Instructional Strategies	56
Table IV Repeat Pregnancies and Contraception Use.....	57
Table V AFDC and Medi-Cal Utilization	58
Table VI Selected Case Management Referrals.....	59

Table IA Description of Adolescent Family Life Program Clients
Race/ethnicity, Age group, and Gender
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	# Clients	# Usable**	% Unusable	% White	% Black	% Hispanic	% Asian / P.I.	% Other**	% 10 to 12	% 13 to 15	% 16 to 17	% 18 plus	% Female	% Male
All sites	8894	8788	1.2	15.9	10.4	68.3	2.6	2.8	0.4	31.8	62.0	5.8	97.0	3.0
01006	148	146	1.4	11.6	9.6	67.8	6.2	4.8		22.6	69.2	8.2	100.0	
01093	177	177	0.0	6.2	43.5	46.9	2.3	1.1	0.6	35.6	57.6	6.2	97.7	2.3
04094	138	136	1.4	63.2	1.5	19.9	5.9	9.6		16.9	69.1	14.0	81.6	18.4
07095	35	34	2.9	26.5	5.9	64.7	2.9			47.1	52.9		100.0	
10096	394	392	0.5	9.7	6.1	78.1	5.1	1.0	0.5	36.2	57.1	6.1	95.2	4.8
11534	17	17	0.0	35.3		58.8		5.9		17.6	64.7	17.6	100.0	
12054	81	81	0.0	61.7	3.7	18.5	3.7	12.3	2.5	25.9	64.2	7.4	98.8	1.2
13201	96	95	1.0	7.4	1.1	89.5		2.1	1.1	30.5	65.3	3.2	100.0	
14559	24	24	0.0	41.7	4.2	37.5		16.7		29.2	70.8		83.3	16.7
15057	377	373	1.1	19.6	7.5	69.7	1.1	2.1	1.1	35.7	60.6	2.7	94.1	5.9
16013	97	97	0.0	12.4	5.2	75.3	2.1	5.2	1.0	38.1	58.8	2.1	91.8	8.2
17098	60	60	0.0	61.7	1.7	23.3		13.3		25.0	66.7	8.3	91.7	8.3
18573	12	12	0.0	75.0		25.0					100.0		91.7	8.3
19017	181	176	2.8	4.5	25.6	64.8	1.1	4.0	0.6	35.2	59.1	5.1	100.0	
19018	101	97	4.0	3.1	24.7	66.0	4.1	2.1		25.8	67.0	7.2	100.0	
19070	331	330	0.3	3.6	3.0	91.5	1.2	0.6	0.6	31.8	61.2	6.4	97.0	3.0
19071	153	153	0.0	1.3	27.5	70.6		0.7	0.7	32.7	60.1	6.5	98.0	2.0
19072	316	314	0.6	0.6	29.6	67.5		2.2	0.3	37.6	57.6	4.5	99.4	0.6
19073	104	104	0.0	16.3	21.2	53.8		8.7		22.1	75.0	2.9	99.0	1.0
19074	208	208	0.0	5.3	5.8	88.0	1.0			28.4	64.4	7.2	99.5	0.5
19099	207	207	0.0	2.4	4.3	90.3	1.9	1.0		29.0	64.7	6.3	96.1	3.9
19100	254	253	0.4	5.1	13.0	77.9	2.0	2.0	0.4	29.6	59.7	10.3	99.2	0.8
19101	196	195	0.5		1.0	97.4	1.0	0.5		20.0	70.3	9.7	97.4	2.6
19102	27	27	0.0		3.7	96.3				37.0	59.3	3.7	100.0	
19103	24	24	0.0		4.2	95.8				12.5	66.7	20.8	100.0	
20588	96	96	0.0	26.0	1.0	68.8	1.0	3.1		24.0	69.8	6.3	99.0	1.0
23592	50	46	8.0	43.5	2.2	37.0		17.4		13.0	80.4	6.5	89.1	10.9
24028	117	117	0.0	8.5	3.4	82.9	5.1		0.9	31.6	65.8	1.7	97.4	2.6
27202	122	122	0.0	8.2	3.3	86.9	0.8	0.8		33.6	59.8	6.6	100.0	
28603	31	31	0.0	16.1		80.6	3.2			22.6	74.2	3.2	96.8	3.2
29102	51	51	0.0	92.2		3.9	2.0	2.0		25.5	62.7	11.8	84.3	15.7
30030	333	316	5.1	5.7	0.9	92.1	0.9	0.3	1.3	32.6	56.6	9.5	97.5	2.5
31103	81	81	0.0	63.0	1.2	30.9	2.5	2.5		25.9	56.8	17.3	98.8	1.2
32614	5	5	0.0	80.0				20.0		20.0	80.0		100.0	
33032	317	314	0.9	20.4	7.6	66.2	1.6	4.1	0.3	34.7	62.4	2.5	98.7	1.3
34033	194	194	0.0	29.9	19.1	39.7	6.7	4.6		29.9	67.0	3.1	97.4	2.6
35627	14	14	0.0	7.1		92.9				14.3	85.7		100.0	
36035	714	711	0.4	22.6	11.3	63.3	0.7	2.1	0.1	29.4	68.5	2.0	98.7	1.3
37104	351	348	0.9	6.6	16.4	70.7	2.6	3.7	0.9	48.3	50.3	0.6	97.7	2.3
38040	454	450	0.9	2.7	33.6	54.2	6.4	3.1	0.4	34.2	61.1	4.2	94.0	6.0
39107	201	200	0.5	13.5	15.0	59.0	11.0	1.5		33.5	58.0	8.5	98.5	1.5
40659	121	116	4.1	42.2	2.6	51.7		3.4		23.3	67.2	9.5	91.4	8.6
41203	83	65	21.7	15.4	4.6	69.2	10.8			47.7	50.8	1.5	98.5	1.5
42108	278	278	0.0	8.3	2.2	87.4	1.4	0.7	0.4	34.5	56.8	8.3	91.7	8.3
43109	250	240	4.0	9.2	4.6	74.6	6.7	5.0	0.4	26.3	68.8	4.6	95.8	4.2
44204	89	89	0.0	14.6	1.1	82.0		2.2		46.1	52.8	1.1	100.0	
45110	92	92	0.0	71.7	4.3	16.3	2.2	5.4	1.1	19.6	66.3	13.0	91.3	8.7
47210	32	31	3.1	80.6		9.7		9.7		32.3	51.6	16.1	96.8	3.2
48205	111	108	2.7	26.9	24.1	35.2	5.6	8.3		37.0	54.6	8.3	99.1	0.9
49056	185	184	0.5	33.2	2.2	60.3	2.7	1.6		34.8	63.0	2.2	99.5	0.5
50051	120	120	0.0	29.2	0.8	63.3	3.3	3.3	1.7	28.3	60.0	10.0	99.2	0.8
52698	32	32	0.0	53.1		40.6		6.3		31.3	59.4	9.4	87.5	12.5
54052*	315	308	2.2	12.0	1.0	83.1	2.9	1.0	0.3	30.5	59.7	9.4	98.7	1.0
55725	15	15	0.0	66.7		6.7		26.7		20.0	80.0		100.0	
56112	223	223	0.0	8.5	2.2	87.4	0.9	0.9	0.4	36.8	57.8	4.9	100.0	
57113	59	59	0.0	18.6	3.4	67.8	3.4	6.8		25.4	72.9	1.7	100.0	

Table IB

Description of Adolescent Family Life Program Clients
Entry status, Trimester of entry into AFLP, and Marital status
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	# Clients	# Usable entry status*	% Unusable	% Pregnant only	% Preg/Parent	% Parenting only	# Pregnant	# Usable trimester entry**	% Unusable	% 1st trimester entry	% 2nd trimester entry	% 3rd trimester entry	% Single, never married	% Married	% Other, unknown
All sites	8894	8347	6.2	57.0	2.6	40.4	4972	4836	2.7	24.0	42.6	33.4	93.3	6.1	0.6
01006	148	143	3.4	51.0	4.9	44.1	80	75	6.3	21.3	44.0	34.7	89.9	10.1	
01093	177	169	4.5	51.5	1.2	47.3	89	88	1.1	19.3	39.8	40.9	97.2	2.8	
04094	138	128	7.2	62.5	0.8	36.7	81	77	4.9	29.9	40.3	29.9	89.1	9.4	1.4
07095	35	31	11.4	74.2		25.8	23	22	4.3	9.1	45.5	45.5	97.1	2.9	
10096	394	386	2.0	60.1	2.1	37.8	240	232	3.3	27.6	42.2	30.2	92.9	6.6	0.5
11534	17	17	0.0	35.3	5.9	58.8	7	6	14.3	50.0	33.3	16.7	88.2	11.8	
12054	81	69	14.8	76.8	1.4	21.7	54	54	0.0	37.0	35.2	27.8	95.1	3.7	1.2
13201	96	96	0.0	66.7	4.2	29.2	68	64	5.9	25.0	54.7	20.3	92.7	6.3	1.0
14559	24	23	4.2	52.2	8.7	39.1	14	12	14.3	16.7	41.7	41.7	91.7	4.2	4.2
15057	377	322	14.6	64.9	1.6	33.5	214	212	0.9	29.7	40.6	29.7	95.8	4.2	
16013	97	92	5.2	71.7	1.1	27.2	67	65	3.0	33.8	40.0	26.2	95.9	3.1	1.0
17098	60	56	6.7	73.2		26.8	41	41	0.0	48.8	39.0	12.2	90.0	10.0	
18573	12	12	0.0	58.3	8.3	33.3	8	7	12.5	42.9	28.6	28.6	75.0	16.7	8.3
19017	181	174	3.9	71.8	2.9	25.3	130	126	3.1	19.0	39.7	41.3	96.7	2.8	0.6
19018	101	94	6.9	67.0	2.1	30.9	65	65	0.0	10.8	53.8	35.4	94.1	5.9	
19070	331	327	1.2	52.0	2.4	45.6	178	175	1.7	13.1	42.9	44.0	96.4	3.6	
19071	153	150	2.0	48.7	3.3	48.0	78	76	2.6	21.1	34.2	44.7	92.8	6.5	0.7
19072	316	314	0.6	51.9	1.9	46.2	169	167	1.2	16.2	37.7	46.1	96.5	3.2	0.3
19073	104	102	1.9	62.7	2.0	35.3	66	65	1.5	20.0	35.4	44.6	94.2	5.8	
19074	208	203	2.4	48.8	3.0	48.3	105	104	1.0	18.3	38.5	43.3	95.7	3.8	0.5
19099	207	194	6.3	39.7	4.1	56.2	85	80	5.9	23.8	37.5	38.8	94.2	4.3	1.4
19100	254	243	4.3	40.7	3.3	56.0	107	102	4.7	17.6	36.3	46.1	94.1	5.5	0.4
19101	196	190	3.1	50.0	4.2	45.8	103	101	1.9	29.7	35.6	34.7	98.0	2.0	
19102	27	27	0.0	48.1		51.9	13	13	0.0	7.7	30.8	61.5	96.3	3.7	
19103	24	23	4.2	30.4	4.3	65.2	8	8	0.0		37.5	62.5	87.5	12.5	
20588	96	91	5.2	39.6	3.3	57.1	39	36	7.7	52.8	25.0	22.2	81.3	16.7	2.1
23592	50	47	6.0	46.8	4.3	48.9	24	24	0.0	16.7	37.5	45.8	78.0	20.0	2.0
24028	117	116	0.9	30.2	3.4	66.4	39	39	0.0	7.7	53.8	38.5	88.9	11.1	
27202	122	119	2.5	63.0	0.8	36.1	76	76	0.0	21.1	48.7	30.3	91.8	6.6	1.6
28603	31	31	0.0	54.8		45.2	17	17	0.0	41.2	35.3	23.5	77.4	19.4	3.2
29102	51	50	2.0	88.0	2.0	10.0	45	43	4.4	46.5	41.9	11.6	94.1	5.9	
30030	333	331	0.6	30.8	3.0	66.2	112	109	2.7	24.8	37.6	37.6	88.3	9.6	2.1
31103	81	78	3.7	48.7	1.3	50.0	39	39	0.0	17.9	35.9	46.2	86.4	13.6	
32614	5	5	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	4	4	0.0	50.0	50.0		100.0		
33032	317	284	10.4	50.7	1.8	47.5	149	148	0.7	20.3	45.3	34.5	96.2	3.2	0.6
34033	194	191	1.5	60.7	2.1	37.2	120	119	0.8	16.8	51.3	31.9	95.4	4.6	
35627	14	14	0.0	35.7		64.3	5	4	20.0		100.0		92.9	7.1	
36035	714	565	20.9	60.5	2.7	36.8	357	351	1.7	21.9	44.7	33.3	95.5	4.2	0.3
37104	351	340	3.1	57.1	2.4	40.6	202	194	4.0	13.9	43.3	42.8	96.0	3.4	0.6
38040	454	390	14.1	85.1	1.5	13.3	338	337	0.3	41.2	45.1	13.6	94.1	5.1	0.9
39107	201	182	9.5	51.6	2.7	45.6	99	97	2.0	19.6	48.5	32.0	96.0	4.0	
40659	121	119	1.7	52.1	2.5	45.4	65	62	4.6	21.0	29.0	50.0	88.4	11.6	
41203	83	81	2.4	76.5	1.2	22.2	63	63	0.0	28.6	46.0	25.4	95.2	4.8	
42108	278	264	5.0	63.3	5.7	31.1	182	169	7.1	30.8	43.8	25.4	90.6	9.0	0.4
43109	250	240	4.0	47.5	2.9	49.6	121	113	6.6	10.6	46.9	42.5	92.8	5.6	1.6
44204	89	88	1.1	46.6	2.3	51.1	43	41	4.7	39.0	36.6	24.4	93.3	6.7	
45110	92	91	1.1	84.6		15.4	77	75	2.6	40.0	40.0	20.0	94.6	5.4	
47210	32	31	3.1	64.5		35.5	20	19	5.0	47.4	26.3	26.3	84.4	15.6	
48205	111	101	9.0	67.3	4.0	28.7	72	65	9.7	24.6	46.2	29.2	95.5	4.5	
49056	185	179	3.2	76.0	1.1	22.9	138	137	0.7	18.2	51.1	30.7	91.4	8.1	0.5
50051	120	118	1.7	40.7	7.6	51.7	57	52	8.8	13.5	51.9	34.6	90.8	9.2	
52698	32	31	3.1	58.1		41.9	18	17	5.6	52.9	35.3	11.8	90.6	9.4	
54052	315	296	6.0	46.3	2.4	51.4	144	137	4.9	18.2	43.1	38.7	89.2	8.3	2.5
55725	15	15	0.0	73.3	6.7	20.0	12	11	8.3	27.3	36.4	36.4	80.0	20.0	
56112	223	217	2.7	69.6	2.3	28.1	156	156	0.0	17.9	45.5	36.5	93.7	5.8	0.4
57113	59	57	3.4	77.2	3.5	19.3	46	45	2.2	31.1	46.7	22.2	81.4	18.6	

Table IC

Description of Adolescent Family Life Program Clients
Work Status, Housing, and Living Arrangements at Intake
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	Total # Clients	# With Usable Working Values	% Not working	% Seeking employment	% Working	% In job training	# With Usable Housing Values	% In House/Apartment	% In Public Housing/Hotel/Motel	% Homeless/in Shelter	% Other	# With Usable Arrangements Values	% with Partner only	% with Family	% with Other Non-relative Adults	% with No Adults in Household*	% in Maternity/Foster/Group Home	% Other
All sites	8894	8847	84.6	8.3	6.7	0.3	7570	96.6	1.5	0.4	1.5	8776	15.5	72.4	7.2	0.6	1.3	2.9
01006	148	148	81.8	5.4	12.8		135	99.3			0.7	147	10.2	78.2	8.8		2.0	0.7
01093	177	177	82.5	11.3	6.2		137	96.4	0.7	1.5	1.5	173	12.1	74.0	5.2	0.6	2.9	5.2
04094	138	136	70.6	13.2	16.2		115	97.4			2.6	135	18.5	64.4	5.9	4.4	3.0	3.7
07095	35	35	91.4	2.9	5.7		23	100.0				35	11.4	88.6				
10096	394	391	88.7	5.4	5.9		340	98.5		0.3	1.2	390	13.3	72.6	7.7	0.8	2.1	3.6
11534	17	17	76.5	11.8	11.8		17	94.1	5.9			17	41.2	52.9	5.9			
12054	81	80	78.8	10.0	10.0	1.3	60	95.0			5.0	78	11.5	69.2	14.1	1.3	2.6	1.3
13201	96	96	91.7	4.2	4.2		79	93.7	1.3		5.1	96	15.6	76.0	7.3			1.0
14559	24	23	73.9	8.7	17.4		24	95.8	4.2			24	4.2	87.5		4.2	4.2	
15057	377	377	85.9	6.6	6.6	0.8	321	96.3	1.9	0.3	1.6	373	14.2	75.6	6.7	0.8	0.5	2.1
16013	97	97	89.7	5.2	5.2		83	96.4	1.2		2.4	95	9.5	80.0	6.3		1.1	3.2
17098	60	59	83.1	6.8	8.5	1.7	46	91.3	2.2	4.3	2.2	60	23.3	60.0	8.3	3.3		5.0
18573	12	12	75.0		25.0		12	91.7	8.3			12	8.3	75.0				16.7
19017	181	178	92.1	5.1	2.8		161	99.4			0.6	180	13.3	76.7	3.9	0.6	0.6	5.0
19018	101	101	76.2	15.8	7.9		98	98.0			2.0	99	11.1	78.8	7.1		1.0	2.0
19070	331	331	75.5	17.8	6.6		272	99.3	0.4		0.4	329	20.7	68.7	8.2	0.3	0.6	1.5
19071	153	153	84.3	13.1	2.6		141	98.6	0.7		0.7	152	10.5	78.3	6.6		2.6	2.0
19072	316	315	83.8	12.4	3.8		280	99.6			0.4	311	11.9	73.6	8.0	0.6	1.6	4.2
19073	104	104	89.4	2.9	7.7		104	99.0			1.0	104	9.6	75.0	12.5	1.0	1.9	
19074	208	208	68.3	26.4	5.3		182	100.0				207	15.5	71.5	9.7	0.5		2.9
19099	207	207	78.3	11.6	8.2	1.9	189	98.9	0.5	0.5		206	17.0	62.6	8.3	1.9	1.0	9.2
19100	254	253	87.7	7.1	4.7	0.4	228	98.7	0.4		0.9	252	8.3	81.0	6.7	0.8	0.4	2.8
19101	196	196	84.7	9.2	5.1	1.0	167	97.6	1.8		0.6	195	27.7	65.1	3.6			3.6
19102	27	27	96.3	3.7			27	96.3	3.7			27	29.6	55.6	14.8			
19103	24	24	79.2	16.7	4.2		24	100.0				24	29.2	58.3	4.2		8.3	
20588	96	96	91.7	1.0	6.3	1.0	96	97.9			2.1	96	15.6	78.1	5.2			1.0
23592	50	48	68.8	18.8	12.5		49	87.8	2.0	4.1	6.1	49	22.4	69.4	8.2			
24028	117	117	87.2	9.4	3.4		98	100.0				117	29.9	65.8	1.7		1.7	0.9
27202	122	122	95.9	0.8	3.3		115	97.4		0.9	1.7	121	28.1	59.5	10.7			1.7
28603	31	31	48.4	29.0	22.6		31	96.8			3.2	31	6.5	45.2	35.5			12.9
29102	51	48	75.0	16.7	6.3	2.1	44	86.4	4.5	9.1		51	25.5	56.9	11.8			5.9
30030	333	326	85.6	6.4	8.0		265	98.5	0.8		0.8	324	22.5	69.1	6.8			1.5
31103	81	81	79.0	7.4	13.6		68	94.1		1.5	4.4	80	21.3	65.0	6.3	1.3	1.3	5.0
32614	5	5	80.0		20.0		5	100.0				5		60.0	20.0			20.0
33032	317	316	85.1	7.3	6.3	1.3	263	97.3	1.1	0.4	1.1	314	9.9	79.6	7.3	1.0	0.6	1.6
34033	194	194	79.9	10.8	8.8	0.5	191	99.5			0.5	194	8.2	78.4	6.7	0.5	4.6	1.5
35627	14	14	85.7		14.3		14	100.0				14		85.7	7.1			7.1
36035	714	712	91.7	3.2	4.9	0.1	671	96.9	1.0	0.3	1.8	712	11.8	75.8	9.3	0.4	1.1	1.5
37104	351	351	93.7	3.4	2.8		308	97.1	1.3	0.6	1.0	345	11.6	73.9	8.7		0.9	4.9
38040	454	450	70.7	18.7	10.4	0.2	226	84.1	13.3	0.9	1.8	436	20.0	71.3	3.4	0.9	1.4	3.0
39107	201	201	94.5	2.0	3.5		178	96.6	2.8		0.6	197	7.6	78.2	8.6	2.5	1.0	2.0
40659	121	120	80.8	5.0	14.2		121	92.6	2.5	1.7	3.3	119	15.1	71.4	6.7		3.4	3.4
41203	83	83	94.0		6.0		73	100.0				83	20.5	71.1	3.6		2.4	2.4
42108	278	277	86.3	7.6	5.4	0.7	220	96.4	0.5	0.5	2.7	271	16.6	70.8	8.5	0.7	1.1	2.2
43109	250	249	84.3	6.8	8.8		208	93.3		1.9	4.8	245	15.1	71.4	6.9	0.8	1.2	4.5
44204	89	89	93.3	3.4	3.4		76	93.4	1.3	1.3	3.9	89	16.9	65.2	6.7	1.1	2.2	7.9
45110	92	92	79.3	12.0	7.6	1.1	73	94.5	2.7		2.7	88	11.4	77.3	10.2			1.1
47210	32	32	81.3	9.4	9.4		28	96.4			3.6	30	26.7	66.7	3.3			3.3
48205	111	111	66.7	21.6	11.7		98	93.9	2.0		4.1	111	6.3	79.3	5.4		8.1	0.9
49056	185	183	88.5	3.8	7.1	0.5	141	95.7	2.1	0.7	1.4	183	26.2	64.5	4.4	1.1		3.8
50051	120	120	87.5	5.0	6.7	0.8	111	84.7	11.7		3.6	119	16.0	68.1	8.4	1.7	0.8	5.0
52698	32	32	75.0	6.3	18.8		32	100.0				32	15.6	59.4	12.5			12.5
54052	315	305	90.8	4.6	3.9	0.7	250	96.0	2.8		1.2	307	18.9	69.7	6.8	0.3	3.6	0.7
55725	15	15	73.3	6.7	20.0		15	100.0				15	20.0	73.3	6.7			
56112	223	223	91.9	1.8	6.3		189	98.4	0.5		1.1	219	16.0	76.3	4.1		0.9	2.7
57113	59	59	91.5	1.7	6.8		48	91.7	8.3			58	20.7	62.1	8.6		3.4	5.2

Table IIA

Adolescent Family Life Program Health Outcomes:
Trimester of entry into Prenatal Care by Trimester of entry into AFLP
Clients* Active as of November 30, 1997

Note: Cols 5+10+15 = Col 3 minus # unusable

Site Number	Total # Clients	# Program births**	% Unusable***	# 1st trimester entry	% No Prenatal Care	% began PNC 1st tri	% began PNC 2nd tri	% began PNC 3rd tri	# 2nd trimester entry	% No Prenatal Care	% began PNC 1st tri	% began PNC 2nd tri	% began PNC 3rd tri	# 3rd trimester entry	% No Prenatal Care	% began PNC 1st tri	% began PNC 2nd tri	% began PNC 3rd tri
All sites	8894	3787	4.1	783	0.5	86.5	11.1	1.9	1473	0.2	67.7	28.9	3.2	1376	0.2	59.2	27.5	13.1
01006	148	46	2.2	6		66.7	33.3		20		35.0	65.0		19		22.2	38.9	38.889
01093	177	76	2.6	14		100.0			27		96.2	3.8		33		66.7	24.2	9.1
04094	138	52	9.6	12		83.3	8.3	8.3	19		78.9	21.1		16		56.3	37.5	6.3
07095	35	18	5.6	2		100.0			6		83.3	16.7		9		55.6	22.2	22.2
10096	394	167	4.2	42		73.8	19.0	7.1	64		56.3	35.9	7.8	54		44.0	12.0	44.0
11534	17																	
12054	81	43	0.0	13		100.0			15		86.7	13.3		15		73.3	13.3	13.3
13201	96	49	2.0	13		92.3	7.7		25		92.0	8.0		10		60.0	30.0	10.0
14559	24	8	25.0	2		100.0			3		33.3	66.7		1				100.0
15057	377	164	2.4	39	2.6	87.2	10.3		66	1.5	69.7	25.8	3.0	55		70.4	24.1	5.6
16013	97	46	8.7	12		91.7	8.3		17		88.2	11.8		13	7.7	61.5	7.7	23.1
17098	60	30	6.7	12	8.3	75.0	8.3	8.3	10		50.0	50.0		6		33.3	33.3	33.3
18573	12	2	50.0											1		100.0		
19017	181	95	2.1	19		63.2	26.3	10.5	32		65.6	34.4		42		60.0	35.0	5.0
19018	101	41	7.3	4		75.0	25.0		18		83.3	16.7		16		81.3	18.8	
19070	331	132	0.0	17		76.5	17.6	5.9	57		82.5	14.0	3.5	58	1.7	74.1	15.5	8.6
19071	153	61	0.0	11		72.7	27.3		20		70.0	30.0		30		56.7	40.0	3.3
19072	316	117	1.7	17		82.4	11.8	5.9	37		56.8	37.8	5.4	61	1.6	60.7	26.2	11.5
19073	104	28	10.7	5		100.0			8		87.5	12.5		12		75.0	8.3	16.7
19074	208	101	2.0	17		94.1	5.9		37		86.1	11.1	2.8	45		59.1	31.8	9.1
19099	207	50	0.0	10		80.0	20.0		16		68.8	12.5	18.8	24		50.0	12.5	37.5
19100	254	96	6.3	16		93.8	6.3		28		78.6	17.9	3.6	46		65.2	32.6	2.2
19101	196	63	0.0	11		100.0			23		56.5	26.1	17.4	29		48.3	6.9	44.8
19102	27	9	22.2	1		100.0			1		100.0			5		40.0	60.0	
19103	24	5	0.0						2		100.0			3		66.7	33.3	
20588	96	67	47.8	19	5.6	94.4			5		50.0	25.0	25.0	11		100.0		
23592	50	7	0.0						2		100.0			5		25.0	75.0	
24028	117	27	0.0	2		100.0			13		69.2	30.8		12		54.5	36.4	9.1
27202	122	55	1.8	7		42.9	57.1		23		34.8	60.9	4.3	24		33.3	54.2	12.5
28603	31	7	14.3						2		50.0	50.0		4		75.0	25.0	
29102	51	27	0.0	12		83.3	16.7		12		58.3	33.3	8.3	3		66.7	33.3	
30030	333	94	5.3	21		95.2	4.8		33		31.3	50.0	18.8	35		51.4	28.6	20.0
31103	81	32	3.1	4		100.0			9		37.5	62.5		18		66.7	33.3	
32614	5																	
33032	317	101	0.0	20		90.0	10.0		43	2.4	50.0	42.9	4.8	38		54.1	27.0	18.9
34033	194	87	0.0	13		84.6	15.4		38		81.6	18.4		36		66.7	27.8	5.6
35627	14	2	100.0															
36035	714	310	2.6	55		92.6	7.4		126	0.8	71.4	24.6	3.2	121		66.1	23.1	10.7
37104	351	129	0.8	12		75.0	16.7	8.3	57		59.6	38.6	1.8	59		49.2	42.4	8.5
38040	454	314	2.5	125		90.3	7.3	2.4	133		61.5	37.7	0.8	48		43.5	30.4	26.1
39107	201	92	4.3	14		71.4	14.3	14.3	42		76.2	21.4	2.4	32		75.9	24.1	
40659	121	33	6.1	4		100.0			9		55.6	33.3	11.1	18		88.9	11.1	
41203	83	55	0.0	14		76.9	23.1		26		53.8	42.3	3.8	15		53.3	33.3	13.3
42108	278	125	1.6	35		77.1	22.9		49		65.3	30.6	4.1	39		64.1	30.8	5.1
43109	250	102	12.7	8		100.0			38		78.9	18.4	2.6	43		69.8	27.9	2.3
44204	89	31	0.0	9		100.0			12		91.7	8.3		10		40.0	30.0	30.0
45110	92	48	4.2	20	5.0	95.0			18		88.9	11.1		8		37.5	62.5	
47210	32	17	29.4	6		100.0			4		100.0			2			50.0	50.0
48205	111	44	4.5	7		71.4	28.6		19		73.7	26.3		16		56.3	31.3	12.5
49056	185	119	1.7	20		78.9	21.1		55		71.2	26.9	1.9	42		63.2	28.9	7.9
50051	120	42	7.1	4		100.0			23		65.2	34.8		12		50.0	25.0	25.0
52698	32	11	18.2	3		66.7	33.3		5		100.0			1		100.0		
54052	315	135	5.2	21		90.5	9.5		54		75.9	18.5	5.6	53		59.2	34.7	6.1
55725	15	5	0.0						3		100.0			2		100.0		
56112	223	130	0.0	24		90.9	9.1		51		52.9	47.1		55		54.5	23.6	21.8
57113	59	40	5.0	9		100.0			18		66.7	33.3		11		40.0	40.0	20.0

Table IIB

Adolescent Family Life Program Health Outcomes:
Gestation and Length of Hospital Stay for Clients and Infants
Clients* Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	Total # Clients	# Program births**	# With Usable Gestation Values***	% Premature (<37 weeks)	% Mature	% Postmature (>42 weeks)	# With Usable Length of Stay Values	% of clients staying < 1 day	% of clients staying 1 to 3 days	% of clients staying 4+ days	% of infants staying < 1 day	% of infants staying 1 to 3 days	% of infants staying 4 days to 1 month	% of infants staying > 1 month
All sites	8894	3787	3413	10.5	88.5	1.1	3004	16.3	74.0	9.6	16.2	69.9	13.2	0.6
01006	148	46	44	13.6	86.4		41	9.8	80.5	9.8	7.3	78.0	12.2	2.4
01093	177	76	73	17.8	82.2		53	15.1	69.8	15.1	17.0	62.3	20.8	
04094	138	52	46	10.9	84.8	4.3	43	25.6	55.8	18.6	27.9	55.8	16.3	
07095	35	18	15	33.3	60.0	6.7	9	33.3	44.4	22.2		88.9	11.1	
10096	394	167	143	11.9	87.4	0.7	141	19.1	75.2	5.7	18.4	72.3	7.8	1.4
11534	17													
12054	81	43	39	10.3	89.7		35	11.4	77.1	11.4	8.6	80.0	11.4	
13201	96	49	45	15.6	84.4		36	11.1	69.4	19.4	8.3	72.2	19.4	
14559	24	8	7	14.3	85.7		7		71.4	28.6		100.0		
15057	377	164	156	14.7	84.0	1.3	133	38.3	56.4	5.3	35.3	52.6	12.0	
16013	97	46	44	9.1	90.9		39	28.2	61.5	10.3	28.2	59.0	12.8	
17098	60	30	26	3.8	92.3	3.8	24	37.5	58.3	4.2	45.8	50.0	4.2	
18573	12	2	2		100.0		2	50.0		50.0	50.0		50.0	
19017	181	95	79	15.2	83.5	1.3	81	22.2	70.4	7.4	21.0	69.1	9.9	
19018	101	41	30	16.7	83.3		36	30.6	66.7	2.8	30.6	61.1	5.6	2.8
19070	331	132	100	13.0	85.0	2.0	100	8.0	80.0	12.0	11.0	73.0	16.0	
19071	153	61	57	21.1	78.9		53	15.1	67.9	17.0	13.2	62.3	24.5	
19072	316	117	101	16.8	81.2	2.0	101	20.8	68.3	10.9	17.8	65.3	16.8	
19073	104	28	26	15.4	84.6		27	7.4	85.2	7.4	7.4	77.8	14.8	
19074	208	101	90	14.4	84.4	1.1	77	3.9	85.7	10.4	1.3	87.0	11.7	
19099	207	50	46	13.0	84.8	2.2	43	9.3	79.1	11.6	7.0	74.4	18.6	
19100	254	96	88	11.4	86.4	2.3	82	18.3	69.5	12.2	17.1	64.6	17.1	1.2
19101	196	63	55	29.1	70.9		48	14.6	77.1	8.3	10.4	79.2	10.4	
19102	27	9	6	16.7	83.3		6	50.0	50.0		50.0	33.3	16.7	
19103	24	5	4	25.0	75.0		3	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	
20588	96	67	59	8.5	91.5		61	29.5	68.9	1.6	24.6	68.9	6.6	
23592	50	7	5	20.0	80.0		6		100.0			83.3	16.7	
24028	117	27	27	14.8	85.2		22	13.6	72.7	13.6	9.1	68.2	22.7	
27202	122	55	54	7.4	92.6		50	10.0	76.0	14.0	10.0	64.0	26.0	
28603	31	7	5	20.0	80.0		7		57.1	42.9		57.1	28.6	14.3
29102	51	27	26	7.7	92.3		21	9.5	90.5		4.8	90.5		4.8
30030	333	94	80	8.8	91.3		54	16.7	72.2	11.1	16.7	74.1	9.3	
31103	81	32	31	9.7	90.3		21	23.8	71.4	4.8	23.8	76.2		
32614	5													
33032	317	101	95	4.2	93.7	2.1	81	18.5	72.8	8.6	22.2	65.4	11.1	1.2
34033	194	87	82	7.3	91.5	1.2	86	17.4	73.3	9.3	18.6	67.4	11.6	2.3
35627	14	2	1		100.0		1		100.0			100.0		
36035	714	310	301	8.0	92.0		278	14.7	77.3	7.9	14.0	71.9	13.7	0.4
37104	351	129	126	11.1	85.7	3.2	110	10.0	78.2	11.8	11.8	71.8	16.4	
38040	454	314	284	8.5	89.4	2.1	163	12.9	72.4	14.7	13.5	68.1	18.4	
39107	201	92	84	20.2	79.8		77	2.6	81.8	15.6	3.9	75.3	15.6	5.2
40659	121	33	24	4.2	95.8		30	13.3	73.3	13.3	13.3	73.3	13.3	
41203	83	55	44	4.5	95.5		40	10.0	85.0	5.0	10.0	80.0	10.0	
42108	278	125	122	4.9	94.3	0.8	91	14.3	75.8	9.9	16.5	67.0	16.5	
43109	250	102	78	11.5	87.2	1.3	81	17.3	76.5	6.2	14.8	71.6	11.1	2.5
44204	89	31	31	3.2	96.8		28	21.4	78.6		28.6	60.7	7.1	3.6
45110	92	48	42	4.8	92.9	2.4	38	13.2	65.8	21.1	23.7	60.5	15.8	
47210	32	17	13	7.7	92.3		11	9.1	81.8	9.1	9.1	81.8	9.1	
48205	111	44	38	5.3	92.1	2.6	35	14.3	77.1	8.6	28.6	62.9	8.6	
49056	185	119	105	1.0	99.0		88	17.0	73.9	9.1	20.5	68.2	11.4	
50051	120	42	40	7.5	92.5		40	7.5	85.0	7.5	7.5	72.5	17.5	2.5
52698	32	11	8	12.5	87.5		8		100.0			100.0		
54052	315	135	116	6.9	90.5	2.6	111	20.7	73.9	5.4	17.1	74.8	8.1	
55725	15	5	5		100.0		5	60.0	40.0		60.0	40.0		
56112	223	130	128	6.3	93.8		107	10.3	83.2	6.5	9.3	79.4	11.2	
57113	59	40	37	2.7	97.3		33	9.1	87.9	3.0	12.1	84.8	3.0	

Table IIC

Adolescent Family Life Program Health Outcomes:
Prevalences of Low (<2500g) and Very Low Birthweight (<1500g)
Mean Birthweight, Standard Deviation, Min, Max, Range (excluding those > 5000g)
Clients* Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	Total # Clients	# Program births**	# Usable birth data***	# Low Birthweight	% Low Birthweight	# Very Low Birthweight	% Very Low Birthweight	Very Low as a % of Low	# Excluded (> 5000g)	Mean Birthweight (g)	Standard Deviation (g)	Minimum (g)	Maximum (g)	Range (g)
All sites	8894	3787	3633	273	7.5	33	0.9	12.1	38	3251.0	541.3	510.0	4848.0	4338.0
01006	148	46	45	7	15.6	1	2.2	14.3	1	3182.6	806.5	510.0	4734.0	4224.0
01093	177	76	74	7	9.5	2	2.7	28.6		3184.0	574.5	1162.0	4649.0	3487.0
04094	138	52	46	2	4.3				1	3477.9	528.2	1956.0	4621.0	2665.0
07095	35	18	17							3320.5	451.4	2608.0	4423.0	1815.0
10096	394	167	164	16	9.8	1	0.6	6.3	2	3210.8	580.8	1077.0	4819.0	3742.0
11534	17													
12054	81	43	42	5	11.9	1	2.4	20.0		3353.7	768.2	1134.0	4734.0	3600.0
13201	96	49	47	3	6.4					3285.3	433.8	2155.0	4167.0	2012.0
14559	24	8	7	1	14.3					3138.7	462.2	2410.0	3912.0	1502.0
15057	377	164	157	19	12.1	3	1.9	15.8	2	3183.5	599.7	1106.0	4678.0	3572.0
16013	97	46	45	4	8.9					3265.0	488.4	2155.0	4196.0	2041.0
17098	60	30	27	1	3.7					3486.6	438.7	2381.0	4026.0	1645.0
18573	12	2	2							3926.5	381.1	3657.0	4196.0	539.0
19017	181	95	91	3	3.3				5	3307.0	447.9	1984.0	4508.0	2524.0
19018	101	41	36	3	8.3	1	2.8	33.3	6	3139.9	658.7	946.0	4394.0	3448.0
19070	331	132	124	6	4.8	1	0.8	16.7		3277.5	526.6	1191.0	4536.0	3345.0
19071	153	61	60	8	13.3					3207.9	642.0	1502.0	4848.0	3346.0
19072	316	117	114	14	12.3					3204.9	580.1	1559.0	4819.0	3260.0
19073	104	28	27	3	11.1					3173.9	580.5	1920.0	4026.0	2106.0
19074	208	101	97	6	6.2				1	3249.3	515.1	1531.0	4451.0	2920.0
19099	207	50	49	9	18.4	1	2.0	11.1		3048.9	611.6	1276.0	4252.0	2976.0
19100	254	96	94	9	9.6	1	1.1	11.1	1	3207.3	537.5	907.0	4224.0	3317.0
19101	196	63	61	3	4.9	1	1.6	33.3		3495.5	656.2	1219.0	4625.0	3406.0
19102	27	9	6							3614.5	335.5	3156.0	4094.0	938.0
19103	24	5	4							3720.5	708.6	2882.0	4594.0	1712.0
20588	96	67	64	6	9.4					3190.5	536.1	1616.0	4564.0	2948.0
23592	50	7	7	1	14.3				1	3236.5	765.4	1899.0	4196.0	2297.0
24028	117	27	27	4	14.8	1	3.7	25.0		3112.2	615.7	1446.0	3941.0	2495.0
27202	122	55	55	5	9.1					3252.1	543.7	1503.0	4564.0	3061.0
28603	31	7	7	2	28.6					2807.0	598.3	1871.0	3515.0	1644.0
29102	51	27	26	2	7.7	1	3.8	50.0	1	3119.5	678.3	1048.0	4026.0	2978.0
30030	333	94	86	6	7.0					3237.3	490.6	2268.0	4819.0	2551.0
31103	81	32	31	1	3.2	1	3.2	100.0		3367.5	655.7	813.0	4380.0	3567.0
32614	5													
33032	317	101	100	4	4.0				3	3342.8	456.2	2268.0	4479.0	2211.0
34033	194	87	87	5	5.7	1	1.1	20.0		3316.2	562.3	1106.0	4508.0	3402.0
35627	14	2	2							3345.0	561.4	2948.0	3742.0	794.0
36035	714	310	307	22	7.2	1	0.3		1	3222.0	473.0	1418.0	4706.0	3288.0
37104	351	129	127	5	3.9				3	3334.8	482.8	1984.0	4780.0	2796.0
38040	454	314	299	20	6.7	4	1.3	20.0		3184.3	507.7	737.0	4678.0	3941.0
39107	201	92	88	8	9.1	3	3.4	37.5	1	3221.5	618.2	510.0	4819.0	4309.0
40659	121	33	30	1	3.3					3405.8	452.5	2353.0	4337.0	1984.0
41203	83	55	46	4	8.7					3298.9	510.3	2155.0	4281.0	2126.0
42108	278	125	124	8	6.5				2	3282.6	450.9	2258.0	4451.0	2193.0
43109	250	102	86	8	9.3	2	2.3	25.0	1	3199.7	580.4	1077.0	4337.0	3260.0
44204	89	31	31	1	3.2					3385.5	529.2	2041.0	4394.0	2353.0
45110	92	48	44	2	4.5					3331.2	472.1	2485.0	4196.0	1711.0
47210	32	17	13	1	7.7					3150.2	522.8	2296.0	4167.0	1871.0
48205	111	44	41	2	4.9	2	4.9	100.0		3172.9	643.0	850.0	4281.0	3431.0
49056	185	119	115	4	3.5	1	0.9	25.0		3352.7	461.1	1106.0	4819.0	3713.0
50051	120	42	41	2	4.9				1	3248.6	536.4	1520.0	4479.0	2959.0
52698	32	11	8						1	3122.9	360.5	2637.0	3629.0	992.0
54052	315	135	134	9	6.7	1	0.7	11.1	1	3271.4	519.3	1389.0	4281.0	2892.0
55725	15	5	5	1	20.0					3396.2	848.7	2466.0	4678.0	2212.0
56112	223	130	129	9	7.0	2	1.6	22.2	1	3203.1	476.5	1332.0	4252.0	2920.0
57113	59	40	37	1	2.7				2	3270.8	473.0	2155.0	4054.0	1899.0

Table IID

Adolescent Family Life Program Health Outcomes:
Immunization Statuses of Index Children and Clients at Time of Intake
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

<i>Site Number</i>	<i># Index child w/ usable Immuniz. data</i>	<i>% Up to Date for Age</i>	<i>% Not Up to Date/Medical Reasons</i>	<i>% Not Up to Date/Other Reasons</i>	<i># clients w/ Usable Hep B vaccine data</i>	<i>% vaccinated</i>	<i># clients w/ Usable PPD* test data</i>	<i>% receiving test</i>	<i># clients w/ Usable MMR** vaccine data</i>	<i>% vaccinated</i>	<i># clients w/ Usable Td*** vaccine data</i>	<i>% vaccinated</i>
All sites	3544	88.1	3.9	8.0	5662	63.3	6134	87.8	6102	89.5	6053	87.8
01006	79	88.6	5.1	6.3	87	62.1	98	86.7	103	98.1	96	90.6
01093	74	89.2	2.7	8.1	109	78.9	124	87.9	123	88.6	124	87.9
04094	60	75.0	18.3	6.7	94	54.3	101	73.3	102	71.6	102	71.6
07095	9	88.9		11.1	22	90.9	22	90.9	22	95.5	22	95.5
10096	134	88.8	3.0	8.2	212	66.5	286	93.0	227	92.5	224	90.2
11534	12	91.7		8.3	8	12.5	7	14.3	7	28.6	7	
12054	19	84.2	5.3	10.5	39	43.6	36	50.0	36	63.9	36	66.7
13201	31	90.3	6.5	3.2	78	66.7	78	89.7	78	100.0	78	98.7
14559	9	100.0			10	100.0	9	100.0	9	100.0	10	100.0
15057	112	93.8	2.7	3.6	282	49.3	292	85.6	293	86.3	294	85.0
16013	30	80.0	3.3	16.7	67	49.3	71	66.2	69	87.0	69	85.5
17098	17	88.2		11.8	12	66.7	13	100.0	18	100.0	23	91.3
18573	2	50.0		50.0	4	50.0	3	66.7	3	66.7	2	50.0
19017	45	88.9	2.2	8.9	125	84.8	143	95.8	141	93.6	135	91.9
19018	34	97.1		2.9	90	91.1	93	94.6	92	93.5	93	94.6
19070	173	87.3	2.9	9.8	238	90.3	252	96.0	244	96.7	241	96.7
19071	81	86.4	4.9	8.6	132	94.7	137	94.2	136	95.6	136	96.3
19072	151	84.8	4.0	11.3	270	97.0	275	98.9	274	98.5	274	97.8
19073	42	78.6	4.8	16.7	95	95.8	95	98.9	96	97.9	96	96.9
19074	115	91.3	1.7	7.0	154	90.9	158	95.6	158	96.8	155	97.4
19099	124	89.5	3.2	7.3	151	95.4	152	98.0	153	98.0	153	98.0
19100	144	86.8	5.6	7.6	164	76.8	195	99.0	195	100.0	196	97.4
19101	72	80.6	1.4	18.1	97	82.5	100	87.0	100	89.0	100	89.0
19102	16	93.8		6.3	22	86.4	22	86.4	22	86.4	22	86.4
19103	14	64.3	14.3	21.4	15	40.0	15	86.7	15	93.3	14	85.7
20588	62	95.2	3.2	1.6	77	49.4	84	98.8	87	100.0	88	97.7
23592	21	100.0			15	60.0	24	83.3	22	90.9	25	96.0
24028	57	94.7		5.3	29	27.6	26	76.9	31	93.5	31	96.8
27202	59	91.5	3.4	5.1	72	36.1	83	95.2	82	91.5	79	89.9
28603	14	85.7		14.3	11	72.7	10	90.0	10	90.0	10	90.0
29102	9	77.8		22.2	40	12.5	42	50.0	44	81.8	44	90.9
30030	175	87.4	4.6	8.0	163	50.9	169	85.2	171	84.2	165	84.2
31103	39	92.3	2.6	5.1	38	39.5	34	91.2	39	92.3	43	90.7
32614	1	100.0			2		1		2	100.0	3	66.7
33032	140	88.6	5.0	6.4	229	47.2	248	85.5	240	82.1	235	80.9
34033	75	92.0	4.0	4.0	91	48.4	134	99.3	161	99.4	163	98.8
35627	8	87.5	12.5		7	100.0	7	100.0	7	100.0	7	100.0
36035	258	91.1	3.5	5.4	654	62.5	661	87.0	662	92.7	661	88.4
37104	146	91.1	2.7	6.2	244	24.6	246	54.1	246	54.9	237	52.3
38040	28	89.3		10.7	187	95.2	206	98.5	201	97.0	203	97.5
39107	92	81.5	9.8	8.7	96	27.1	105	84.8	113	93.8	110	90.9
40659	58	94.8	1.7	3.4	77	62.3	92	87.0	89	91.0	84	88.1
41203	25	92.0	4.0	4.0	60	26.7	62	83.9	60	88.3	61	86.9
42108	95	91.6	3.2	5.3	193	78.2	203	86.7	206	87.9	205	87.3
43109	144	87.5	4.2	8.3	183	89.1	194	96.9	194	95.9	191	94.2
44204	39	94.9		5.1	61	9.8	69	85.5	59	81.4	62	74.2
45110	15	93.3		6.7	60	33.3	64	96.9	65	98.5	65	98.5
47210	10	80.0		20.0	18	5.6	19	47.4	15	80.0	16	81.3
48205	35	97.1		2.9	71	49.3	93	94.6	89	95.5	87	93.1
49056	34	97.1		2.9	66	25.8	77	77.9	75	48.0	75	53.3
50051	60	90.0	1.7	8.3	63	9.5	64	75.0	67	80.6	65	61.5
52698	13	84.6	7.7	7.7	15		18	83.3	22	95.5	17	94.1
54052	165	74.5	7.3	18.2	121	38.0	147	83.7	157	80.9	155	79.4
55725	4	100.0			13	15.4	14	50.0	13	100.0	13	76.9
56112	53	86.8	5.7	7.5	101	32.7	136	74.3	128	76.6	123	72.4
57113	11	81.8		18.2	28	28.6	25	76.0	29	82.8	28	71.4

Table IIE

Adolescent Family Life Program Health Outcomes:
 Intentional Injuries (Self-Inflicted and Non-Self-Inflicted)
 Of the Non-Self-Inflicted: Was it Domestic Violence?
 Follow-Ups for Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	Total # Clients	# of Follow-up forms (F-Ups) collected	# F-Ups With Usable ISII* data	% With known/suspected ISII	# F-Ups With Usable INSII** data	% With known/suspected INSII	# F-Ups With Usable INSII and DV*** data	% of INSII resulting from DV
All sites	8894	11124	9644	0.9	9622	3.4	281	72.2
01006	148	156	146		145	0.7	1	100.0
01093	177	252	214	0.9	216	3.2	5	80.0
04094	138	202	179	0.6	178	5.1	8	62.5
07095	35	61	47		47	2.1	1	100.0
10096	394	478	414	1.0	415	2.9	7	28.6
11534	17	1	1		1			
12054	81	146	124		125	8.0	10	90.0
13201	96	135	110		109	2.8	3	100.0
14559	24	16	16		16			
15057	377	437	393	0.8	394	2.0	6	50.0
16013	97	101	94	1.1	94	3.2	3	33.3
17098	60	74	68	1.5	68	5.9	2	50.0
18573	12	1						
19017	181	196	175	3.4	175	2.3	4	50.0
19018	101	36	33		33	6.1	2	50.0
19070	331	516	462	1.3	461	3.5	15	93.3
19071	153	175	169	1.2	167	2.4	4	25.0
19072	316	339	308	0.6	309	1.9	3	100.0
19073	104	21	21		21			
19074	208	290	252	0.8	252	2.8	5	40.0
19099	207	283	239	0.4	241	5.0	12	66.7
19100	254	357	330	0.9	332	2.7	9	88.9
19101	196	268	233	1.3	230	2.2	4	50.0
19102	27	14	14		14	7.1	1	
19103	24	7	7		7			
20588	96	28	28	3.6	27	3.7	1	100.0
23592	50	4	3		4			
24028	117	182	152	0.7	152	2.6	4	100.0
27202	122	57	51	3.9	50	2.0		
28603	31	4	4		4			
29102	51	64	59	1.7	58	3.4	2	100.0
30030	333	611	476		469	2.8	13	100.0
31103	81	117	106		106	2.8	3	66.7
32614	5							
33032	317	388	321	0.3	323	1.9	5	100.0
34033	194	184	177	1.1	177	4.5	8	50.0
35627	14						10	50.0
36035	714	657	623	0.8	624	2.2		
37104	351	365	338	2.1	336	4.2	12	16.7
38040	454	965	666	1.1	663	4.1	25	64.0
39107	201	256	237	1.7	235	1.3	2	100.0
40659	121	53	52		52	5.8	1	
41203	83	83	78	1.3	77	5.2	4	25.0
42108	278	375	318	0.3	316	2.8	8	75.0
43109	250	340	290	0.3	286	2.4	5	60.0
44204	89	133	118	1.7	118	5.1	5	60.0
45110	92	99	83	3.6	83	20.5	16	93.8
47210	32	23	20		20			
48205	111	113	90	2.2	90	5.6	3	100.0
49056	185	313	271	0.7	271	5.2	13	92.3
50051	120	181	169	0.6	170	4.7	8	87.5
52698	32	17	16		16			94.1
54052	315	556	495	1.8	493	4.1	17	94.1
55725	15	4	4		4			
56112	223	297	262	0.4	261	2.3	6	83.3
57113	59	93	88		87	5.7	5	100.0

Table IIF

Adolescent Family Life Program Health Outcomes:
Smoking Status, Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure,
Alcohol and Substance Abuse at Intake
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	Total # Clients	# With Usable Smoking Values	% Never smoked	% Stopped smoking	% Smoke < 1 pack/day	% Smoke >= 1 pack/day	# With Usable Live w/ Smoker Values	% Live with a smoker	% Do not live with smoker	# With Usable Alcohol Values	% Abuse alcohol	% Do not abuse alcohol	# With Usable Substance Values	% Abuse substances	% Do not abuse substances
All sites	8894	8720	76.9	17.1	5.5	0.5	7319	33.5	66.5	8573	3.8	96.2	8521	3.6	96.4
01006	148	147	75.5	13.6	9.5	1.4	135	39.3	60.7	148	2.7	97.3	148	2.0	98.0
01093	177	175	76.0	17.1	6.9		136	43.4	56.6	172	4.1	95.9	172	4.1	95.9
04094	138	136	53.7	24.3	20.6	1.5	113	51.3	48.7	129	2.3	97.7	129	5.4	94.6
07095	35	35	91.4	5.7	2.9		23	43.5	56.5	34	100.0		33		100.0
10096	394	374	85.6	11.0	3.5		314	24.2	75.8	372	7.0	93.0	367	5.4	94.6
11534	17	16	62.5	12.5	25.0		15	20.0	80.0	16	100.0		16		100.0
12054	81	75	49.3	41.3	9.3		49	42.9	57.1	69	100.0		70	1.4	98.6
13201	96	96	82.3	17.7			79	31.6	68.4	95	2.1	97.9	96	5.2	94.8
14559	24	20	65.0	10.0	15.0	10.0	18	55.6	44.4	15	6.7	93.3	15	6.7	93.3
15057	377	373	77.5	13.4	8.3	0.8	318	34.9	65.1	373	2.9	97.1	373	3.2	96.8
16013	97	97	87.6	8.2	4.1		83	32.5	67.5	97	7.2	92.8	97	7.2	92.8
17098	60	55	49.1	23.6	25.5	1.8	40	67.5	32.5	54	5.6	94.4	56	8.9	91.1
18573	12	12	58.3	8.3	33.3		11	72.7	27.3	12	8.3	91.7	12	8.3	91.7
19017	181	175	90.9	8.6	0.6		152	18.4	81.6	176	4.5	95.5	174	1.7	98.3
19018	101	99	80.8	16.2	3.0		96	26.0	74.0	101	3.0	97.0	101	3.0	97.0
19070	331	330	78.2	18.5	3.3		269	34.2	65.8	325	4.6	95.4	320	3.8	96.3
19071	153	152	85.5	13.8	0.7		141	34.0	66.0	151	2.6	97.4	147	2.0	98.0
19072	316	314	90.8	8.0	1.3		278	29.1	70.9	314	3.8	96.2	314	2.2	97.8
19073	104	104	55.8	32.7	11.5		103	54.4	45.6	104	3.8	96.2	104	2.9	97.1
19074	208	208	79.3	18.3	1.9	0.5	180	29.4	70.6	208	3.4	96.6	207	1.9	98.1
19099	207	205	78.0	17.6	4.4		182	20.3	79.7	203	2.0	98.0	203	1.0	99.0
19100	254	252	76.6	16.7	6.7		223	35.0	65.0	251	4.4	95.6	250	3.2	96.8
19101	196	195	79.0	17.9	3.1		166	25.3	74.7	190	2.6	97.4	191	1.6	98.4
19102	27	27	85.2	14.8			27	22.2	77.8	27	3.7	96.3	27		100.0
19103	24	24	79.2	4.2	16.7		23	17.4	82.6	24	8.3	91.7	24	4.2	95.8
20588	96	95	81.1	13.7	3.2	2.1	95	24.2	75.8	91	5.5	94.5	91	7.7	92.3
23592	50	47	48.9	34.0	12.8	4.3	46	50.0	50.0	43	4.7	95.3	44	9.1	90.9
24028	117	117	88.0	11.1	0.9		98	15.3	84.7	116	0.9	99.1	116	0.9	99.1
27202	122	119	74.8	23.5	1.7		110	30.0	70.0	119	3.4	96.6	120	3.3	96.7
28603	31	31	67.7	19.4	12.9		31	38.7	61.3	31	3.2	96.8	31		100.0
29102	51	50	46.0	32.0	22.0		40	47.5	52.5	43	11.6	88.4	39	7.7	92.3
30030	333	327	87.2	11.3	1.2	0.3	262	28.6	71.4	324	2.5	97.5	325	0.6	99.4
31103	81	74	45.9	39.2	14.9		57	42.1	57.9	71	2.8	97.2	72	2.8	97.2
32614	5	5	60.0	20.0	20.0		5	40.0	60.0	5	100.0		5		100.0
33032	317	315	75.9	20.0	3.2	1.0	257	30.7	69.3	307	5.5	94.5	305	4.9	95.1
34033	194	180	70.0	25.0	5.0		172	32.0	68.0	178	2.8	97.2	174	2.3	97.7
35627	14	10	60.0	40.0			11	27.3	72.7	11	100.0		11		100.0
36035	714	709	75.5	17.3	6.5	0.7	668	43.0	57.0	710	2.8	97.2	707	3.3	96.7
37104	351	349	85.7	10.9	3.4		299	26.4	73.6	348	2.3	97.7	344	2.6	97.4
38040	454	452	81.6	14.8	3.3	0.2	209	37.8	62.2	433	3.7	96.3	422	3.3	96.7
39107	201	199	75.4	17.6	6.5	0.5	176	35.2	64.8	194	3.6	96.4	193	2.1	97.9
40659	121	113	60.2	27.4	10.6	1.8	109	43.1	56.9	112	7.1	92.9	112	12.5	87.5
41203	83	82	68.3	30.5	1.2		73	23.3	76.7	83	1.2	98.8	82	2.4	97.6
42108	278	277	78.3	16.6	4.0	1.1	218	27.5	72.5	268	9.3	90.7	268	9.0	91.0
43109	250	244	73.0	19.3	7.4	0.4	205	33.7	66.3	241	3.3	96.7	239	5.0	95.0
44204	89	89	73.0	22.5	4.5		75	33.3	66.7	86	4.7	95.3	85	3.5	96.5
45110	92	91	46.2	37.4	15.4	1.1	73	49.3	50.7	90	4.4	95.6	90	5.6	94.4
47210	32	29	44.8	27.6	24.1	3.4	24	66.7	33.3	26	19.2	80.8	25	16.0	84.0
48205	111	111	58.6	29.7	11.7		97	43.3	56.7	110	3.6	96.4	108	6.5	93.5
49056	185	174	79.9	15.5	4.0	0.6	122	36.1	63.9	162	1.9	98.1	160	2.5	97.5
50051	120	109	76.1	17.4	4.6	1.8	94	41.5	58.5	102	4.9	95.1	101	3.0	97.0
52698	32	29	51.7	13.8	31.0	3.4	29	51.7	48.3	31	12.9	87.1	30	10.0	90.0
54052	315	303	83.5	12.2	4.0	0.3	241	26.1	73.9	300	3.0	97.0	301	2.3	97.7
55725	15	15	13.3	53.3	33.3		15	53.3	46.7	15	6.7	93.3	15		100.0
56112	223	221	84.2	14.9	0.9		187	25.1	74.9	207	0.5	99.5	206	1.0	99.0
57113	59	58	75.9	15.5	6.9	1.7	47	38.3	61.7	56	5.4	94.6	54	7.4	92.6

Table IIIA

Adolescent Family Life Program Educational Outcomes:
Last Grade Completed and School Status at Intake
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	# Clients	# Usable last grade data*	% Unusable	% no formal education	% 1st through 5th	% 6th through 8th	% 9th through 12th	% GED, CHPSE, Other PS**	% Other***	# Usable status data****	% Unusable	% enrolled, attending	% enrolled, not attending	% not enrolled	% dropped out	% expelled	% HS Dip. GED / in LEA/other
All sites	8894	8793	1.1	0.6	4.3	23.3	71.1	0.4	0.2	8016	9.9	57.4	7.6	18.8	13.4	0.2	2.6
01006	148	146	1.4		2.7	31.5	64.4	0.7	0.7	139	6.1	63.3	5.8	18.7	11.5		0.7
01093	177	176	0.6	1.1	1.1	34.1	63.6			153	13.6	39.9	14.4	19.6	22.2	0.7	3.3
04094	138	134	2.9	0.7	11.2	7.5	79.1	1.5		119	13.8	61.3	10.1	15.1	10.1		3.4
07095	35	35	0.0		5.7	22.9	68.6	2.9		28	20.0	39.3		3.6	50.0	3.6	3.6
10096	394	387	1.8	1.0	3.4	25.3	69.5	0.8		358	9.1	63.7	3.9	15.4	13.7	0.3	3.1
11534	17	17	0.0			23.5	70.6	5.9		17	0.0	35.3	23.5	23.5			17.6
12054	81	78	3.7			14.1	85.9			61	24.7	68.9	6.6	16.4	4.9		3.3
13201	96	96	0.0		1.0	14.6	84.4			82	14.6	84.1	1.2	3.7	9.8		1.2
14559	24	23	4.2		4.3	21.7	73.9			24	0.0	75.0	4.2	20.8			
15057	377	375	0.5			22.1	77.1	0.5	0.3	331	12.2	64.7	5.7	12.4	15.7		1.5
16013	97	97	0.0	1.0		19.6	79.4			84	13.4	75.0	9.5	10.7	1.2		3.6
17098	60	60	0.0		10.0	15.0	75.0			52	13.3	59.6	5.8	19.2	11.5		3.8
18573	12	12	0.0				100.0			12	0.0	41.7	33.3	8.3			16.7
19017	181	178	1.7		11.8	22.5	64.0		1.7	162	10.5	72.2	3.1	19.8	4.3	0.6	
19018	101	98	3.0	1.0	3.1	10.2	84.7		1.0	100	1.0	85.0	1.0	9.0	5.0		
19070	331	330	0.3		7.6	23.0	69.1	0.3		295	10.9	48.8	8.5	15.6	24.7		2.4
19071	153	152	0.7	5.9	25.7	17.1	51.3			141	7.8	56.7	9.9	22.7	9.2		1.4
19072	316	312	1.3	0.3	2.6	26.3	70.8			296	6.3	54.7	6.8	17.6	18.6		2.4
19073	104	104	0.0		1.0	16.3	82.7			104	0.0	77.9	3.8	16.3	1.9		
19074	208	206	1.0	0.5	1.9	23.3	73.8	0.5		189	9.1	56.1	5.8	18.0	18.5		1.6
19099	207	204	1.4		9.3	29.4	61.3			199	3.9	49.7	10.6	23.1	14.1	0.5	2.0
19100	254	253	0.4		1.2	23.7	74.3		0.8	235	7.5	71.1	7.7	14.5	4.7		2.1
19101	196	196	0.0		2.6	23.5	74.0			181	7.7	53.6	7.7	23.8	12.7		2.2
19102	27	27	0.0			48.1	51.9			27	0.0	63.0		37.0			
19103	24	24	0.0			33.3	66.7			24	0.0	50.0		20.8	25.0		4.2
20588	96	95	1.0		11.6	17.9	69.5		1.1	95	1.0	80.0	2.1	6.3	6.3		5.3
23592	50	50	0.0		4.0	16.0	72.0	8.0		49	2.0	53.1	4.1	20.4			22.4
24028	117	116	0.9	1.7	3.4	24.1	70.7			103	12.0	59.2	1.9	23.3	11.7		3.9
27202	122	120	1.6		10.0	33.3	55.8		0.8	116	4.9	45.7	6.9	37.1	10.3		
28603	31	31	0.0		3.2	32.3	64.5			31	0.0	48.4	3.2	45.2			3.2
29102	51	50	2.0			16.0	84.0			46	9.8	45.7	6.5	2.2	39.1	2.2	4.3
30030	333	320	3.9	0.6	5.9	33.8	58.4	0.6	0.6	298	10.5	33.6	6.0	29.9	26.8	0.3	3.4
31103	81	81	0.0		3.7	16.0	74.1	6.2		69	14.8	60.9	4.3	10.1	8.7		15.9
32614	5	5	0.0		80.0		20.0			5	0.0	80.0		20.0			
33032	317	317	0.0		8.5	23.0	67.8	0.3	0.3	281	11.4	58.0	8.9	21.0	10.0	0.4	1.8
34033	194	193	0.5		1.6	16.6	81.3	0.5		192	1.0	71.4	8.3	6.3	11.5	1.0	1.6
35627	14	13	7.1		7.7	7.7	76.9		7.7	13	7.1	61.5		30.8	7.7		
36035	714	713	0.1		2.2	25.1	72.4	0.1	0.1	688	3.6	59.6	8.9	22.5	7.0		2.0
37104	351	349	0.6	0.9	2.6	37.0	59.6			325	7.4	51.4	11.4	28.6	7.4		1.2
38040	454	446	1.8		1.1	16.6	82.1	0.2		302	33.5	40.4	6.3	18.2	31.8		3.3
39107	201	200	0.5	2.0	7.5	18.5	71.0	1.0		186	7.5	54.8	9.7	18.8	14.0	0.5	2.2
40659	121	119	1.7	2.5	7.6	12.6	73.1	2.5	1.7	121	0.0	70.2	5.0	12.4	7.4		5.0
41203	83	83	0.0		3.6	25.3	71.1			77	7.2	41.6	11.7	19.5	24.7		2.6
42108	278	277	0.4	0.7	1.8	25.6	71.8			235	15.5	54.5	8.5	22.1	11.5	0.4	3.0
43109	250	247	1.2	1.2	4.9	23.9	70.0			223	10.8	47.1	8.1	26.5	16.1	1.3	0.9
44204	89	89	0.0		4.5	32.6	62.9			81	9.0	45.7	13.6	21.0	16.0		3.7
45110	92	89	3.3	1.1	1.1	13.5	83.1	1.1		77	16.3	64.9	6.5	9.1	10.4	1.3	7.8
47210	32	32	0.0			21.9	78.1			29	9.4	65.5	20.7	6.9	3.4		3.4
48205	111	111	0.0	0.9	3.6	18.9	76.6			98	11.7	73.5	9.2	11.2	2.0		4.1
49056	185	176	4.9	0.6	9.7	22.7	64.8	2.3		182	1.6	57.1	4.9	13.2	20.3	0.5	3.8
50051	120	120	0.0	2.5	2.5	24.2	70.0		0.8	116	3.3	52.6	8.6	19.0	16.4		3.4
52698	32	32	0.0		3.1	6.3	87.5	3.1		32	0.0	59.4	6.3	21.9	6.3		6.3
54052	315	304	3.5	1.0	3.3	20.1	75.7			264	16.2	58.3	12.9	18.6	8.3		1.9
55725	15	15	0.0			6.7	93.3			15	0.0	86.7		13.3			
56112	223	222	0.4	0.5	3.2	26.1	69.8		0.5	202	9.4	51.5	7.9	18.3	21.3		1.0
57113	59	58	1.7		1.7	27.6	70.7			52	11.9	67.3	9.6	7.7	15.4		

Table IIIB

**Adolescent Family Life Program Educational Outcomes:
Type of School, Type of Preg/Parenting Program,
Instructional Strategy, and Post-secondary School
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997**

Site Number	# With School Type	% Public/Private Elem, Jr/Sr High	% Continuation School	% Court/Community School	% Alternative / Adult Ed	% Other	# With Preg/Parent Program Type	% County Minor Pregnant Program	% SAPID	% Other Preg/Parent Program	# With Primary Instructional Strategy	% Mainstream	% Independent Study	% Home or Hospital Instruction	% Self Contained-Classroom	# With Postsecondary School	% Technical/Vocation School	% Community College	% 4 Year College/University	% Other
All sites	6239	52.8	19.5	2.4	21.5	3.8	2403	49.5	13.8	36.7	5272	55.2	24.7	3.1	17.0	340	19.7	27.1	3.8	49.4
01006	110	39.1	35.5		24.5	0.9	52	1.9	50.0	48.1	94	69.1	29.8	1.1		12	50.0	25.0		25.0
01093	106	78.3	2.8	0.9	8.5	9.4	36	25.0	5.6	69.4	88	70.5	12.5	2.3	14.8	5		60.0	20.0	20.0
04094	98	35.7	35.7	2.0	23.5	3.1	35	28.6	42.9	28.6	89	69.7	27.0	3.4		7		42.9	14.3	42.9
07095	18	55.6			38.9	5.6	4	25.0	25.0	50.0	9	44.4	55.6			1	100.0			
10096	287	68.6	12.5	0.7	17.1	1.0	71	49.3	16.9	33.8	252	60.3	29.8	4.4	5.6	8	37.5	37.5	12.5	12.5
11534	17	76.5	17.6		5.9						12	58.3	16.7	16.7	8.3					
12054	58	48.3	39.7	1.7	8.6	1.7	5			100.0	53	49.1	28.3	11.3	11.3	3		66.7		33.3
13201	76	38.2	3.9		47.4	10.5	45	84.4		15.6	66	19.7	7.6	1.5	71.2					
14559	22	45.5	27.3	27.3							20	85.0	15.0							
15057	266	56.4	26.3	6.4	7.9	3.0	71	14.1	25.4	60.6	238	51.3	35.7	2.5	10.5	8		12.5	12.5	75.0
16013	79	49.4	26.6	1.3	19.0	3.8	25	88.0		12.0	71	26.8	19.7	4.2	49.3	30		3.3		96.7
17098	44	56.8	31.8	6.8	4.5		8	25.0		75.0	38	84.2	15.8			1		100.0		
18573	12	50.0	33.3		8.3	8.3					10	80.0		20.0						
19017	142	31.7	3.5		51.4	13.4	97	90.7		9.3	123	24.4	25.2	1.6	48.8	12	66.7	8.3	25.0	
19018	86	19.8	57.0	1.2	16.3	5.8	63	90.5		9.5	82	89.0	9.8		1.2	3	66.7		33.3	
19070	202	52.0	9.4		33.7	5.0	84	54.8	3.6	41.7	164	65.9	22.6	3.0	8.5	12	25.0	16.7		58.3
19071	117	61.5	15.4		15.4	7.7	53	77.4	1.9	20.8	105	75.2	12.4	1.0	11.4	12	33.3	16.7		50.0
19072	210	69.0	16.2		12.4	2.4	80	77.5	1.3	21.3	171	78.4	12.9		8.8	9	33.3	11.1		55.6
19073	100	82.0	6.0		12.0		65	92.3		7.7	88	51.1	40.9	5.7	2.3	6	33.3	50.0	16.7	
19074	132	43.9	3.8		46.2	6.1	63	22.2		77.8	122	41.8	15.6		42.6	2	100.0			
19099	151	71.5	8.6		19.2	0.7	28	64.3		35.7	111	63.1	20.7	1.8	14.4	9	33.3			66.7
19100	202	37.1	42.1		16.3	4.5	97	51.5	6.2	42.3	178	58.4	21.9	6.7	12.9	3	33.3			66.7
19101	134	64.9	12.7	0.7	12.7	9.0	32	62.5		37.5	89	77.5	10.1	1.1	11.2	29	3.4	13.8	3.4	79.3
19102	16	68.8	6.3		25.0		7	14.3		85.7	14	64.3	28.6		7.1	2				100.0
19103	15	46.7	33.3	6.7		13.3	3	66.7		33.3	11	54.5	36.4		9.1	2		50.0		50.0
20588	92	47.8	9.8	1.1	41.3		64	54.7	40.6	4.7	78	50.0	15.4	1.3	33.3	1	100.0			
23592	44	52.3	22.7	15.9	2.3	6.8	10			100.0	39	56.4	20.5	2.6	20.5	1	100.0			
24028	67	46.3	14.9	1.5	37.3		7	42.9		57.1	67	31.3	46.3	7.5	14.9	4		75.0		25.0
27202	100	79.0	6.0		14.0	1.0	41	65.9	24.4	9.8	67	59.7	23.9	4.5	11.9	3		33.3		66.7
28603	13	15.4	7.7			76.9	12			100.0	15	20.0		6.7	73.3	1		100.0		
29102	29	41.4	51.7		6.9		12	75.0	8.3	16.7	26	80.8	15.4	3.8						
30030	216	58.8	21.3	0.9	17.6	1.4	71	33.8	5.6	60.6	140	37.1	57.9	1.4	3.6	11	36.4	63.6		
31103	51	25.5	23.5	2.0	49.0		16	6.3	56.3	37.5	47	44.7	53.2	2.1		1		100.0		
32614	5	40.0	60.0								4		25.0	50.0	25.0					
33032	211	46.0	20.4	1.4	28.9	3.3	128	78.1	3.9	18.0	194	52.1	11.3	2.6	34.0	30	16.7	6.7		76.7
34033	164	39.6	48.8	0.6	7.3	3.7	72	9.7	54.2	36.1	161	52.8	31.7	0.6	14.9	1		100.0		
35627	12	58.3	25.0	8.3	8.3						8	50.0	12.5	25.0	12.5	1	100.0			
36035	526	53.2	20.7	2.7	22.1	1.3	232	64.7	8.2	27.2	458	59.6	21.8	2.8	15.7	28	17.9	14.3	3.6	64.3
37104	241	50.2	10.0	7.9	30.3	1.7	94	28.7	24.5	46.8	200	50.0	23.5	6.5	20.0	13	7.7	69.2		23.1
38040	250	66.4	4.8	0.4	25.2	3.2	61	88.5	1.6	9.8	151	78.8	9.3	0.7	11.3	2	50.0	50.0		
39107	147	47.6	12.9	6.8	29.9	2.7	55	32.7	32.7	34.5	129	62.0	17.1	7.0	14.0	13		38.5		61.5
40659	101	32.7	46.5	1.0	16.8	3.0	48	2.1		97.9	87	65.5	27.6	1.1	5.7	4	75.0	25.0		
41203	49	63.3	6.1	4.1	14.3	12.2	25	72.0	20.0	8.0	48	45.8	18.8		35.4	1			100.0	
42108	182	54.4	13.7	9.3	21.4	1.1	46	39.1	19.6	41.3	155	40.6	34.2	3.9	21.3	9		100.0		
43109	154	55.8	22.1	3.2	16.9	1.9	46	17.4	10.9	71.7	123	59.3	30.1	2.4	8.1	7	42.9	28.6		28.6
44204	61	65.6	4.9	3.3	23.0	3.3	20	30.0	55.0	15.0	58	46.6	25.9	1.7	25.9					
45110	64	45.3	29.7	3.1	17.2	4.7	18	50.0	27.8	22.2	51	33.3	27.5	7.8	31.4	6		66.7		33.3
47210	26	42.3	46.2		7.7	3.8	27	55.6	22.2	22.2	25	72.0	28.0							
48205	87	54.0	41.4		3.4	1.1	16	18.8	6.3	75.0	86	67.4	22.1	5.8	4.7	4	50.0	25.0	25.0	
49056	127	48.8	10.2	3.9	36.2	0.8	37	18.9		81.1	93	24.7	12.9	6.5	55.9	1		100.0		
50051	80	43.8	20.0	5.0	28.8	2.5	31	32.3	25.8	41.9	71	33.8	42.3	1.4	22.5	1				100.0
52698	23	34.8	43.5		17.4	4.3	10		60.0	40.0	20	55.0	40.0	5.0		2		100.0		
54052	211	47.9	14.7	2.4	21.3	13.7	87	8.0	41.4	50.6	194	49.0	25.3	2.6	23.2	17	5.9	29.4		64.7
55725	13	7.7	15.4		76.9		9	100.0			12		25.0		75.0					
56112	148	48.6	30.4	6.1	13.5	1.4	61	26.2		73.8	126	38.9	54.0	1.6	5.6	2		50.0		50.0
57113	45	51.1	4.4		24.4	20.0	23	91.3		8.7	41	61.0	4.9		34.1					

Table IV
Adolescent Family Life Program
Repeat Pregnancies (from Follow-ups) and Contraception Use (at Intake)
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	Total # Clients	# of Follow-up Forms (F-Ups) collected	# F-Ups With a Repeat Pregnancy*	% F-Ups With a Repeat Pregnancy	# With Usable Contraception Data	% Never Use (at Intake - AI)	% Sometimes Use AI	% Always Use AI	% No partner and abstaining AI	% Has partner but abstains AI	% Not Applicable - client pregnant AI
All sites	8894	11124	438	3.9	8620	31.9	16.7	17.6	5.2	3.6	25.0
01006	148	156	6	3.8	144	14.6	16.0	24.3	7.6	6.3	31.3
01093	177	252	13	5.2	172	22.7	20.3	29.1	4.1	7.6	16.3
04094	138	202	8	4.0	134	11.9	6.0	23.9	3.0	3.7	51.5
07095	35	61	8	13.1	34	26.5	26.5	5.9	2.9		38.2
10096	394	478	22	4.6	377	41.1	18.0	18.8	2.9	2.9	16.2
11534	17	1			17	23.5		29.4	5.9	11.8	29.4
12054	81	146	10	6.8	72	12.5	19.4	12.5	6.9		48.6
13201	96	135	7	5.2	94	37.2	8.5	12.8	5.3	2.1	34.0
14559	24	16			20	20.0	15.0	25.0	5.0		35.0
15057	377	437	12	2.7	374	28.9	8.0	20.1	2.7	2.4	38.0
16013	97	101	5	5.0	96	54.2	25.0	7.3	1.0	3.1	9.4
17098	60	74	3	4.1	56	44.6	25.0	12.5	3.6		14.3
18573	12	1			12	25.0	41.7	25.0			8.3
19017	181	196	5	2.6	172	26.7	11.6	12.8	8.1	4.7	36.0
19018	101	36			101	48.5	7.9	22.8	3.0	3.0	14.9
19070	331	516	16	3.1	317	30.9	22.7	14.5	6.6	5.7	19.6
19071	153	175	4	2.3	149	34.9	16.1	20.1	7.4	3.4	18.1
19072	316	339	9	2.7	303	45.9	17.8	19.1	4.6	2.6	9.9
19073	104	21			104	16.3	39.4	16.3	3.8	1.0	23.1
19074	208	290	12	4.1	200	33.5	16.5	13.5	10.5	5.0	21.0
19099	207	283	9	3.2	202	27.2	23.8	14.9	8.9	4.0	21.3
19100	254	357	15	4.2	249	30.1	17.3	12.9	11.2	5.6	22.9
19101	196	268	13	4.9	195	36.4	9.7	15.9	7.7	4.1	26.2
19102	27	14			27	25.9	7.4	14.8	11.1	7.4	33.3
19103	24	7			24	33.3	29.2	20.8	8.3	8.3	
20588	96	28			92	25.0	19.6	33.7		7.6	14.1
23592	50	4			45	11.1	6.7	31.1	2.2	4.4	44.4
24028	117	182	11	6.0	117	35.0	12.8	32.5	7.7	5.1	6.8
27202	122	57	4	7.0	118	50.0	22.0	13.6	2.5	2.5	9.3
28603	31	4			31	22.6	38.7	29.0			9.7
29102	51	64	4	6.3	50	10.0	26.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	50.0
30030	333	611	32	5.2	319	47.0	11.6	20.4	7.2	2.2	11.6
31103	81	117	3	2.6	79	13.9	7.6	26.6	5.1	7.6	39.2
32614	5				5	20.0		20.0			60.0
33032	317	388	17	4.4	313	33.2	13.4	15.0	9.3	2.9	26.2
34033	194	184	3	1.6	192	12.0	6.8	14.1	4.7	5.2	57.3
35627	14				11	45.5		9.1	27.3	9.1	9.1
36035	714	657	28	4.3	704	31.7	12.8	12.6	4.4	4.0	34.5
37104	351	365	10	2.7	343	41.1	11.1	15.7	5.8	2.3	23.9
38040	454	965	35	3.6	437	29.3	44.9	17.4	0.7	1.1	6.6
39107	201	256	8	3.1	197	32.0	12.7	22.8	5.1	3.0	24.4
40659	121	53	4	7.5	112	20.5	14.3	15.2	9.8	8.9	31.3
41203	83	83	2	2.4	82	24.4	26.8	2.4	1.2	8.5	36.6
42108	278	375	19	5.1	274	42.0	13.9	17.9	3.6	1.1	21.5
43109	250	340	13	3.8	238	27.3	11.8	24.8	8.8	2.9	24.4
44204	89	133	4	3.0	86	40.7	30.2	9.3	3.5	5.8	10.5
45110	92	99	9	9.1	91	17.6	42.9	14.3	3.3		22.0
47210	32	23			28	14.3	17.9	14.3	3.6	3.6	46.4
48205	111	113	5	4.4	107	17.8	16.8	17.8	1.9	5.6	40.2
49056	185	313	6	1.9	174	19.5	11.5	15.5	2.3	1.7	49.4
50051	120	181	2	1.1	117	29.9	15.4	23.1	4.3	6.0	21.4
52698	32	17	1	5.9	30	23.3	10.0	20.0	3.3		43.3
54052	315	556	31	5.6	292	34.6	9.6	26.0	6.2	3.1	20.5
55725	15	4			15	60.0		6.7	6.7		26.7
56112	223	297	7	2.4	220	46.4	10.5	12.3	3.2	4.1	23.6
57113	59	93	3	3.2	56	23.2	17.9	12.5			46.4

Table V

Adolescent Family Life Program
AFDC and Medi-Cal Utilization at Time of Intake
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	Total # Clients	# With Usable AFDC Values at Intake	% Receiving Service (at Intake - AI)	% Not Receiving, but Referred - AI	% Not Receiving, Not Referred - AI	% Not Receiving, Svcs Unavailable - AI	% Not Receiving, Not Needed - AI	% Not Receiving, Ineligible -AI	% Refused Service	# With Usable Medi-Cal Values at Intake	% Receiving Service (at Intake - AI)	% Not Receiving, but Referred - AI	% Not Receiving, Not Referred - AI	% Not Receiving, Svcs Unavailable - AI	% Not Receiving, Not Needed - AI	% Not Receiving, Ineligible -AI	% Refused Service
All sites	8894	8648	16.5	8.4	9.7	0.2	40.6	23.2	1.4	8667	61.6	9.8	8.2	0.1	15.0	5.1	0.2
01006	148	146	10.3	5.5	5.5		56.2	14.4	8.2	147	53.1	9.5	2.0		32.7	1.4	1.4
01093	177	174	16.7	8.6	9.8		40.2	24.7		175	57.1	12.0	5.7		18.3	6.9	
04094	138	130	35.4	11.5	3.1	0.8	40.8	8.5		129	76.7	10.9	1.6		9.3	1.6	
07095	35	35	8.6	20.0	2.9	2.9	42.9	22.9		35	71.4	2.9	8.6		11.4	5.7	
10096	394	386	18.9	5.4	2.3		38.9	33.9	0.5	388	76.3	10.6	3.4		5.2	4.6	
11534	17	15			6.7		73.3	13.3	6.7	15	26.7	13.3	20.0		33.3	6.7	
12054	81	81	23.5	17.3	1.2		34.6	23.5		80	65.0	28.8			3.8	2.5	
13201	96	96	15.6	9.4	1.0		25.0	49.0		96	61.5	28.1	2.1		4.2	4.2	
14559	24	22	13.6	9.1	4.5		68.2	4.5		21	42.9	14.3			42.9		
15057	377	376	18.1	3.2	18.6		38.0	21.0	1.1	375	57.9	4.0	17.1		16.3	4.8	
16013	97	94	21.3	13.8	1.1		59.6	4.3		94	55.3	13.8	4.3	1.1	23.4	2.1	
17098	60	60	21.7	20.0	5.0		30.0	21.7	1.7	60	55.0	21.7	5.0		11.7	6.7	
18573	12	8					50.0	50.0		8	75.0				12.5	12.5	
19017	181	177	15.3	10.2	14.1	0.6	45.8	13.6	0.6	178	56.7	10.7	12.4		18.0	1.7	0.6
19018	101	92	34.8	14.1	5.4	1.1	35.9	8.7		95	80.0	3.2	5.3		8.4	3.2	
19070	331	330	8.2	9.1	21.8	0.3	47.6	13.0		330	50.0	12.1	17.0	0.3	15.5	5.2	
19071	153	152	7.2	9.9	22.4		40.8	12.5	7.2	152	46.7	9.2	23.0		17.1	2.6	1.3
19072	316	313	9.3	5.1	25.2	0.3	41.5	17.6	1.0	312	56.7	4.8	20.8		14.4	3.2	
19073	104	102	16.7	1.0	22.5		52.9	6.9		102	51.0	2.9	18.6		22.5	4.9	
19074	208	208	6.3	4.3	25.5		53.4	10.6		208	54.8	7.7	13.9		19.2	4.3	
19099	207	206	13.6	18.0	11.7		31.6	17.5	7.8	207	45.4	17.9	8.7		15.9	10.6	1.4
19100	254	254	25.6	10.2	8.7	0.8	43.3	9.1	2.4	254	56.7	9.1	6.7	0.4	24.4	2.8	
19101	196	195	9.2	6.2	33.8		42.1	8.7		196	51.5	9.2	23.5		13.8	2.0	
19102	27	27		3.7	55.6		14.8	25.9		27	63.0	3.7	25.9		3.7	3.7	
19103	24	21			19.0		71.4	9.5		21	57.1		19.0		19.0	4.8	
20588	96	53	1.9	1.9			84.9	11.3		54	59.3	16.7			24.1		
23592	50	18	22.2	5.6			5.6	66.7		19	63.2	21.1				15.8	
24028	117	117	8.5	1.7	1.7		47.9	37.6	2.6	117	61.5	9.4	0.9		8.5	18.8	0.9
27202	122	122	8.2	9.8		0.8	50.8	29.5	0.8	122	75.4	14.8	0.8		4.1	4.9	
28603	31	31			3.2		64.5	32.3		31	29.0	6.5	29.0		29.0	6.5	
29102	51	48	14.6		10.4		43.8	31.3		49	32.7	8.2	36.7		14.3	8.2	
30030	333	318	3.5	11.9	8.8	0.9	30.8	40.9	3.1	321	57.0	10.3	5.3	0.6	14.0	12.1	0.6
31103	81	80	16.3	2.5	1.3		51.3	28.8		80	51.3	7.5			35.0	6.3	
32614	5	5	20.0				60.0	20.0		5	100.0						
33032	317	317	15.8	9.5	11.4	0.3	36.3	26.2	0.6	315	60.3	9.2	16.5		10.2	3.8	
34033	194	194	3.1	1.0	4.1		50.5	40.7	0.5	194	41.8	2.1	13.9		35.6	6.7	
35627	14	12		16.7			16.7	33.3	33.3	13	53.8	23.1	7.7		7.7	7.7	
36035	714	703	26.3	10.7	1.8	0.1	34.9	24.2	2.0	704	71.2	8.9	1.0		13.6	4.8	0.4
37104	351	350	12.9	9.7	4.6	0.3	26.9	45.7		348	57.2	11.5	6.3		13.8	11.2	
38040	454	445	15.7	4.7	24.3	0.2	44.7	9.0	1.3	448	61.6	8.0	12.9		15.2	2.2	
39107	201	198	41.9	8.1	2.0		27.8	20.2		199	74.9	4.0	1.0		15.1	5.0	
40659	121	106	17.0	2.8	16.0		30.2	33.0	0.9	108	53.7	5.6	13.0		15.7	12.0	
41203	83	81	4.9	21.0	1.2	1.2	48.1	18.5	4.9	82	64.6	22.0			12.2	1.2	
42108	278	277	19.1	10.5	3.6		41.9	21.7	3.2	278	70.5	14.0	1.1		10.4	3.6	0.4
43109	250	245	19.6	6.1	4.9	0.4	46.5	21.6	0.8	245	58.8	6.9	5.3	0.4	23.3	4.9	0.4
44204	89	85	3.5	17.6	2.4		32.9	41.2	2.4	89	84.3	6.7			5.6	3.4	
45110	92	88	12.5	18.2	3.4	1.1	55.7	9.1		88	61.4	15.9	4.5		18.2		
47210	32	31	16.1	25.8	3.2		16.1	38.7		31	38.7	29.0	3.2		12.9	16.1	
48205	111	105	15.2	21.9	8.6		24.8	29.5		105	43.8	12.4	7.6		28.6	7.6	
49056	185	181	6.6	5.5	3.3		33.1	50.3	1.1	179	59.2	10.1	3.4		22.3	5.0	
50051	120	113	28.3	6.2	5.3		18.6	38.1	3.5	118	71.2	11.9	0.8		5.9	9.3	0.8
52698	32	32	21.9	15.6			31.3	31.3		28	82.1	14.3	3.6				
54052	315	310	33.9	2.6	1.9		37.4	23.2	1.0	308	82.5	4.5	3.6		6.2	3.2	
55725	15	15			6.7		33.3	60.0		15	66.7	6.7			13.3	13.3	
56112	223	220	13.6	7.7	0.9		69.1	8.6		220	75.9	10.0	1.8		10.9	1.4	
57113	59	48	31.3	16.7			18.8	33.3		49	77.6	14.3			6.1	2.0	

Table VI

Adolescent Family Life Program Continuous Case Management:
Referrals to Selected Services for 8,894 Clients
During 24,148 Case Management Visits
Clients Active as of November 30, 1997

Site Number	Total # Clients	# of Case Management Visits	# of Referrals to AFDC	# of Referrals to Medi-Cal	# Referrals to CA Childrens Svcs	# Referrals to CHDP	# Referrals to Family Planning	# Referrals to Prenatal Care	# Referrals to WIC	# Referrals to Employment Svcs	# Referrals to Food Stamps	# Referrals to Dom Viol Intervention	# Referrals to Housing
All sites	8894	24148	1738	1811	178	1877	5586	433	1937	2418	1277	438	821
01006	148	352	17	27	1	3	80	2	54	15	10	2	2
01093	177	509	33	33		1	39	4	33	30	13	1	20
04094	138	405	27	22	3	76	99	10	32	79	17	11	28
07095	35	117	14	6		1	5	1	5	6	3	3	8
10096	394	1042	71	80	48	140	311	23	106	107	64	17	27
11534	17	18		2			1		1				
12054	81	281	25	33		46	100	11	51	40	19	24	30
13201	96	280	32	48	1	68	79	4	25	39	25	16	19
14559	24	48	4	3		1	11	1	5	8	2	2	1
15057	377	990	37	36	7	36	188	10	23	53	33	11	14
16013	97	250	24	24	1	9	51	4	37	21	20	3	7
17098	60	171	25	19	2	11	55	9	28	21	12	6	9
18573	12	15				1	1	1	1				
19017	181	476	53	44	5	30	75	9	21	50	47	7	3
19018	101	187	19	4			39		7	36	13	1	1
19070	331	987	84	95	6	76	216	20	46	183	57	14	18
19071	153	393	38	47	2	8	50	9	45	62	23	6	12
19072	316	777	45	51	1	9	92	8	22	55	32	4	3
19073	104	153	2	5			12	5	5		2		1
19074	208	609	58	43	1	26	105	2	23	99	34	5	17
19099	207	548	79	62		20	155	17	23	63	55	10	29
19100	254	714	53	50	4	17	167	6	29	71	34	6	11
19101	196	536	51	39	1	29	104	11	18	27	23	2	6
19102	27	48	3	1		3	7		1	6	1		
19103	24	36	1	1		1	4			2	3		
20588	96	192	1	14		2	44	6	20		2		
23592	50	69	2	4		2	6	2	3	3	2	2	4
24028	117	326	5	18		19	77	4	12	10	7	1	1
27202	122	235	25	24	4	19	59	3	6	15	16	3	7
28603	31	42		3			1	1	3	2			1
29102	51	143	2	6		8	29	4	7	17	4	8	13
30030	333	1040	100	84	4	139	336	12	74	44	48	16	18
31103	81	236	8	12		11	47	5	22	7	7	5	5
32614	5	5											
33032	317	815	89	91	7	85	240	27	62	83	78	13	75
34033	194	466	11	17		5	82	4	26	40	11	7	7
35627	14	16	2	4	2	3	5		1	1	3		
36035	714	1695	142	135	5	87	585	35	229	99	113	16	26
37104	351	848	62	67	40	197	214	29	62	36	35	17	17
38040	454	1814	83	89	5	29	173	14	165	248	50	41	74
39107	201	559	31	22	2	27	129	10	58	33	30	8	16
40659	121	216	8	11	4	13	37	4	20	5	11	1	11
41203	83	221	32	25	1	22	106	4	48	54	16	9	7
42108	278	795	47	62	2	9	289	29	88	127	32	29	22
43109	250	698	37	35		13	126	6	61	62	35	7	37
44204	89	254	39	26		37	107	1	39	36	48	11	20
45110	92	242	27	28		66	107	13	19	67	18	7	12
47210	32	72	11	14		8	21	5	18	10	6	1	1
48205	111	272	39	25		9	61	7	35	54	32	4	18
49056	185	623	27	53	8	28	110	11	59	53	23	17	71
50051	120	347	17	26	4	48	107	4	20	43	12	22	10
52698	32	60	10	8		26	15	4	7	4	8	1	6
54052	315	1031	37	54	5	198	215	7	44	107	40	22	37
55725	15	24		1		1			2				
56112	223	659	36	60	2	105	155	7	66	61	29	13	6
57113	59	191	13	18		49	57	8	20	24	19		33



State of California
Department of Health Services